



Test Report No. 405160-20

Test Report Date: March 2013

MASH TL-3 TESTING AND EVALUATION OF THE W-BEAM GUARDRAIL ON SLOPE

by

Akram Y. Abu-Odeh
Research Scientist

Kelly Ha
Student Technician I

Ivan Liu
Graduate Student Worker

and

Wanda L. Menges
Research Specialist

Contract No.: T4541-AT

Test No.: 405160-20-1 and 405160-20-2

Test Dates: 2012-01-18 and 2012-04-20

Sponsored by

**Roadside Safety Research Program Pooled Fund
Study No. TPF-5(114)**

TEXAS A&M TRANSPORTATION INSTITUTE PROVING GROUND

Mailing Address:
Roadside Safety & Physical Security
Texas A&M University System
3135 TAMU
College Station, TX 77843-3135

Located at:
Texas A&M Riverside Campus
Building 7091
3100 State Highway 47
Bryan, TX 77807




DISCLAIMER

The contents of this report reflect the views of the authors who are solely responsible for the facts and accuracy of the data, and the opinions, findings and conclusions presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official views or policies of the Roadside Safety Research Program Pooled Fund Study, The Texas A&M University System, or Texas A&M Transportation Institute. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation. In addition, the above listed agencies assume no liability for its contents or use thereof. The names of specific products or manufacturers listed herein do not imply endorsement of those products or manufacturers. The results reported herein apply only to the article being tested. The full-scale crash test was performed according to TTI Proving Ground quality procedures and according to the *MASH* guidelines and standards.



Wanda L. Menges, Research Specialist
Deputy Quality Manager



Richard A. Zimmer, Senior Research Specialist
Test Facility Manager
Quality Manager
Technical Manager

1. Report No.	2. Government Accession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.	
4. Title and Subtitle MASH TL-3 TESTING AND EVALUATION OF THE W-BEAM GUARDRAIL ON SLOPE		5. Report Date March 2013	6. Performing Organization Code
7. Author(s) Akram Y. Abu-Odeh, Kelly Ha, Ivan Liu, and Wanda L. Menges		8. Performing Organization Report No. Test Report No. 405160-20	
9. Performing Organization Name and Address Texas A&M Transportation Institute Proving Ground The Texas A&M University System College Station, Texas 77843-3135		10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS)	11. Contract or Grant No. TPF-5(114) T4541AT P2005312.34
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address Roadside Safety Pooled Fund Study Washington State Department of Transportation Transportation Building, MS 47372 Olympia, Washington 98504-7372		13. Type of Report and Period Covered Test Report: June 2009 – May 2012	14. Sponsoring Agency Code
15. Supplementary Notes Research Study Title: Placement of Guardrail on Slopes Phase II: New Design (2009-10) Name of Contacting Representative: Dave Olson			
16. Abstract In Phase I of this research, a guardrail on slope design was recommended based on finite element impact simulations. A 2H:1V sloped ditch was excavated behind the rail to represent the sloped terrain. Along the sloped section, the 8-ft long posts were placed at 3 ft-1.5 inch spacing. <i>NCHRP Report 350</i> test designation 3-11 was performed on this installation with the 2000P vehicle at 62 mi/h and 15 degrees. The 2000P vehicle was contained and redirected. However, after exiting the installation, the vehicle rolled onto its left side. Due to this rollover, the guardrail on 2H:1V slope did not meet the criteria for <i>NCHRP Report 350</i> test 3-11. During Phase II, further simulation was performed to improve the performance of the guardrail on slope design. In simulation Case 1 with 8-ft long posts spaced at 3 ft-1.5 inches apart, significant wheel snag and high ridedown acceleration was predicted. Simulation of Case 2 with 6 ft-3 inch spacing and 8-ft posts, predicted successful redirection and containment of the vehicle with less severe wheel snag or pocketing. The occupant risk factors were much lower than those from Case1. Hence, it was recommended to test a guardrail on slope system that represents the design used in modeling Case 2. <i>MASH</i> tests 3-10 and 3-11 were performed on the design based on simulation Case 2. The guardrail on slope performed acceptably according to the specifications for <i>MASH</i> TL-3.			
17. Key Words Guardrail, W-Beam, Longitudinal Barriers, Slopes, Finite Element, Simulation, Crash Test, Roadside Safety		18. Distribution Statement Copyrighted. Not to be copied or reprinted without consent from Roadside Safety Pooled Fund .	
19. Security Classif.(of this report) Unclassified	20. Security Classif.(of this page) Unclassified	21. No. of Pages 116	22. Price

SI* (MODERN METRIC) CONVERSION FACTORS

APPROXIMATE CONVERSIONS TO SI UNITS

Symbol	When You Know	Multiply By	To Find	Symbol
LENGTH				
in	inches	25.4	millimeters	mm
ft	feet	0.305	meters	m
yd	yards	0.914	meters	m
mi	miles	1.61	kilometers	km
AREA				
in ²	square inches	645.2	square millimeters	mm ²
ft ²	square feet	0.093	square meters	m ²
yd ²	square yard	0.836	square meters	m ²
ac	acres	0.405	hectares	ha
mi ²	square miles	2.59	square kilometers	km ²
VOLUME				
fl oz	fluid ounces	29.57	milliliters	mL
gal	gallons	3.785	liters	L
ft ³	cubic feet	0.028	cubic meters	m ³
yd ³	cubic yards	0.765	cubic meters	m ³
NOTE: volumes greater than 1000 L shall be shown in m ³				
MASS				
oz	ounces	28.35	grams	g
lb	pounds	0.454	kilograms	kg
T	short tons (2000 lb)	0.907	megagrams (or "metric ton")	Mg (or "t")
TEMPERATURE (exact degrees)				
°F	Fahrenheit	5 (F-32)/9 or (F-32)/1.8	Celsius	°C
ILLUMINATION				
fc	foot-candles	10.76	lux	lx
fl	foot-Lamberts	3.426	candela/m ²	cd/m ²
FORCE and PRESSURE or STRESS				
lbf	poundforce	4.45	newtons	N
lbf/in ²	poundforce per square inch	6.89	kilopascals	kPa

APPROXIMATE CONVERSIONS FROM SI UNITS

Symbol	When You Know	Multiply By	To Find	Symbol
LENGTH				
mm	millimeters	0.039	inches	in
m	meters	3.28	feet	ft
m	meters	1.09	yards	yd
km	kilometers	0.621	miles	mi
AREA				
mm ²	square millimeters	0.0016	square inches	in ²
m ²	square meters	10.764	square feet	ft ²
m ²	square meters	1.195	square yards	yd ²
ha	hectares	2.47	acres	ac
km ²	square kilometers	0.386	square miles	mi ²
VOLUME				
mL	milliliters	0.034	fluid ounces	fl oz
L	liters	0.264	gallons	gal
m ³	cubic meters	35.314	cubic feet	ft ³
m ³	cubic meters	1.307	cubic yards	yd ³
MASS				
g	grams	0.035	ounces	oz
kg	kilograms	2.202	pounds	lb
Mg (or "t")	megagrams (or "metric ton")	1.103	short tons (2000 lb)	T
TEMPERATURE (exact degrees)				
°C	Celsius	1.8C+32	Fahrenheit	°F
ILLUMINATION				
lx	lux	0.0929	foot-candles	fc
cd/m ²	candela/m ²	0.2919	foot-Lamberts	fl
FORCE and PRESSURE or STRESS				
N	newtons	0.225	poundforce	lbf
kPa	kilopascals	0.145	poundforce per square inch	lbf/in ²

*SI is the symbol for the International System of Units. Appropriate rounding should be made to comply with Section 4 of ASTM E380.
(Revised March 2003)

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research project was performed under a pooled fund program between the State of Alaska Department of Transportation and Public Facilities, California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development, Minnesota Department of Transportation, Pennsylvania Department of Transportation, Tennessee Department of Transportation, Texas Department of Transportation, Washington State Department of Transportation, West Virginia Department of Transportation, and the Federal Highway Administration. The authors acknowledge and appreciate their guidance and assistance. This work was partially supported by the U.S. Department of Transportation, the Illinois Department of Transportation, and the Transportation Research and Analysis Computing Center. Their support in providing computational resources for simulation analyses is greatly appreciated.

Roadside Safety Research Pooled Fund Committee CONTACTS

Revised October 2012

ALASKA

Jeff C. Jeffers, P.E.
Statewide Traffic & Safety Engineering
Alaska Department of Transportation and Public
Facilities
3132 Channel Drive
P.O. Box 112500
Juneau, AK 99811-2500
(907) 465-8962
jeff.jeffers@alaska.gov

CALIFORNIA

John Jewell, P.E.
Caltrans
Office of Materials and Infrastructure
Division of Research and Innovation
5900 Folsom Blvd
Sacramento, CA 95819
(916) 227-5824
john_jewell@dot.ca.gov

LOUISIANA

Paul Fossier, P.E.
Assistant Bridge Design Administrator
Bridge and Structural Design Section
Louisiana Transportation Center
1201 Capitol Road
P.O. Box 94245
Baton Rouge, LA 79084-9245
(225) 379-1323
Paul.Fossier@la.gov

Louisiana (continued)

Justin Peltier, P.E.
Senior Engineer, Bridge Design
(225) 379-1069
(225) 379-1786 (fax)
Justin.Peltier@la.gov

MINNESOTA

Michael Elle, P.E.
Design Standards Engineer
Minnesota Department of Transportation
395 John Ireland Blvd, MS 696
St. Paul, MN 55155-1899
(651) 366-4622
michael.elle@state.mn.us

PENNSYLVANIA

Mark R. Burkhead, P.E.
Standards & Criteria Engineer
Pennsylvania Department of Transportation
Bureau of Project Delivery
400 North Street
Harrisburg, PA 17105
(717) 783-5110
(717) 705-2379 (fax)
mburkhead@pa.gov

TENNESSEE

Jeff Jones
Assistant Chief Engineer
Tennessee Department of Transportation
Suite 1300
James K. Polk State Office Building
Nashville, TN 37243-0348
(615) 741-2221
Jeff.C.Jones@tn.gov

Ali Hangul, P.E.
Civil Engineering Manager
(615) 741-0840
(615) 532-7745 (fax)
Ali.Hangul@tn.gov

TEXAS

Aurora (Rory) Meza, P.E.
Roadway Design Section Director
Texas Department of Transportation
Design Division
125 East 11th Street
Austin, TX 78701-2483
(512) 416-2678
Rory.Meza@txdot.gov

WASHINGTON

Dave Olson, Chair
Design Policy, Standards,
& Research Manager
Washington State
Department of Transportation
P.O. Box 47329
Olympia, WA 98504-7329
(360) 705-7952
Olsonda@wsdot.wa.gov

Rhonda Brooks
Research Manager
(360) 705-7945
Brookrh@wsdot.wa.gov

WEST VIRGINIA

Donna J. Hardy, P.E.
Mobility and Safety Engineer
West Virginia Department of
Transportation – Traffic Engineering
Building 5, Room A-550
1900 Kanawha Blvd E.
Charleston, WV 25305-0430
(304) 558-9576
Donna.J.Hardy@wv.gov

FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

Richard B. (Dick) Albin, P.E.
Safety Engineer
FHWA Resource Center Safety & Design
Technical Services Team
711 South Capitol Blvd.
Olympia, WA 98504
(303) 550-8804
Dick.Albin@dot.gov

William Longstreet
Highway Engineer
FHWA Office of Safety Design
Room E71-107
1200 New Jersey Avenue, S.E.
Washington, DC 20590
(202) 366-0087
Will.Longstreet@dot.gov

TEXAS A&M TRANSPORTATION INSTITUTE

D. Lance Bullard, Jr., P.E.
Research Engineer
Roadside Safety & Physical Security Div.
Texas A&M Transportation Institute
Texas A&M University System
College Station, TX 77843-3135
(979) 845-6153
L-Bullard@tamu.edu

Roger P. Bligh, Ph.D., P.E.
Research Engineer
(979) 845-4377
RBligh@tamu.edu

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
1. DEVELOPMENT OF A W-BEAM GUARDRAIL ON SLOPE.....	1
1.1 PROBLEM.....	1
1.2 BACKGROUND	2
1.3 PREVIOUS FULL-SCALE TEST	2
1.3.1 Test Description	4
1.3.2 Conclusions.....	4
1.4 SIMULATION CASES FOR NEW GUARDRAIL ON SLOPE SYSTEM	4
1.4.1 Guardrail Configurations	5
1.4.2 Simulation Cases.....	5
1.4.3 Simulation Results	8
1.5 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR TESTING.....	23
2. SYSTEM DETAILS	25
2.1 TEST ARTICLE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION.....	25
2.2 MATERIAL SPECIFICATIONS	25
2.3 SOIL CONDITIONS	25
3. TEST REQUIREMENTS AND EVALUATION CRITERIA	29
3.1 CRASH TEST MATRIX.....	29
3.2 EVALUATION CRITERIA	29
4. TEST CONDITIONS.....	31
4.1 TEST FACILITY	31
4.2 VEHICLE TOW AND GUIDANCE SYSTEM.....	31
4.3 DATA ACQUISITION SYSTEMS.....	31
4.3.1 Vehicle Instrumentation and Data Processing	31
4.3.2 Anthropomorphic Dummy Instrumentation	32
4.3.3 Photographic Instrumentation and Data Processing	32
5. CRASH TEST 405160-20-1 (<i>MASH</i> TEST NO. 3-11).....	33
5.1 TEST DESIGNATION AND ACTUAL IMPACT CONDITIONS	33
5.2 TEST VEHICLE.....	33
5.3 WEATHER CONDITIONS.....	33
5.4 TEST DESCRIPTION	33
5.5 TEST ARTICLE AND COMPONENT DAMAGE	36
5.6 TEST VEHICLE DAMAGE	36
5.7 OCCUPANT RISK VALUES	36
5.8 ASSESSMENT OF TEST RESULTS	42
5.8.1 Structural Adequacy.....	42
5.8.2 Occupant Risk.....	42
5.8.3 Vehicle Trajectory	43
6. CRASH TEST 405160-20-2 (<i>MASH</i> TEST NO. 3-10).....	45
6.1 TEST DESIGNATION AND ACTUAL IMPACT CONDITIONS	45
6.2 TEST VEHICLE.....	45
6.3 WEATHER CONDITIONS.....	45
6.4 TEST DESCRIPTION	45
6.5 TEST ARTICLE AND COMPONENT DAMAGE	48

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
6.6 TEST VEHICLE DAMAGE	48
6.7 OCCUPANT RISK VALUES	48
6.8 ASSESSMENT OF TEST RESULTS	54
6.8.1 Structural Adequacy.....	54
6.8.2 Occupant Risk.....	54
6.8.3 Vehicle Trajectory	55
7. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	57
7.1 SUMMARY OF RESULTS	57
7.1.1 MASH Test 3-11 (Test No. 405160-20-1).....	57
7.1.2 MASH Test 3-10 (Test No. 405160-20-2).....	57
7.2 CONCLUSIONS.....	57
REFERENCES	61
APPENDIX A. DETAILS OF TEST ARTICLE.....	63
APPENDIX B. SUPPORTING CERTIFICATION DOCUMENTS	71
APPENDIX C. SOIL STRENGTH DOCUMENTATION	73
APPENDIX D. CRASH TEST NO. 405160-20-1.....	77
D1. VEHICLE PROPERTIES AND INFORMATION	77
D2. SEQUENTIAL PHOTOGRAPHS.....	81
D3. VEHICLE ANGULAR DISPLACEMENTS	84
D4. VEHICLE ACCELERATIONS.....	85
APPENDIX E. CRASH TEST NO. 405160-20-2	91
E1. VEHICLE PROPERTIES AND INFORMATION.....	91
E2. SEQUENTIAL PHOTOGRAPHS	94
E3. VEHICLE ANGULAR DISPLACEMENTS.....	97
E4. VEHICLE ACCELERATIONS	98

LIST OF FIGURES

	<u>Page</u>
Figure 1.1. Allowable Post on Slope Installation Cases from WSDOT Design Manual Page 710-25.	1
Figure 1.2. Cross section of the guardrail on slope system tested by TTI.	3
Figure 1.3. Guardrail on slope system as tested by TTI.	3
Figure 1.4. Impact sequentials for TTI test 405160-4-1.	4
Figure 1.5. Guardrail damage.	4
Figure 1.6. Vehicle damage.	4
Figure 1.7. Cross section of 31-inch system.	5
Figure 1.8. Steel post model.	6
Figure 1.9. 12-gauge W-beam model.	6
Figure 1.10. Mesh for combined soil and post models.	7
Figure 1.11. Initialized soil model.	7
Figure 1.12. Chevrolet Silverado model.	8
Figure 1.13. Model setup for Case 1.	8
Figure 1.14. Top view of maximum deflection of system for Case 1 simulation.	9
Figure 1.15. Contours of W-beam plastic strain during simulation.	9
Figure 1.16. Case 1 Silverado model at maximum roll.	10
Figure 1.17. Case 1 Silverado model at maximum pitch.	10
Figure 1.18. Case 1 Silverado model at maximum yaw.	10
Figure 1.19. Vehicle pocketing and wheel snag upon exit.	11
Figure 1.20. Longitudinal acceleration history at CG Case 1.	12
Figure 1.21. Lateral acceleration history at CG Case 1.	12
Figure 1.22. Vertical acceleration history at CG Case 1.	13
Figure 1.23. Roll, pitch, and yaw angles at CG Case 1.	13
Figure 1.24. Summary of results for Case 1 simulation.	14
Figure 1.25. Model setup for Case 1.	15
Figure 1.26. Case 2 top view of maximum deflection of system.	15
Figure 1.27. Contours of W-beam plastic strain during simulation.	16
Figure 1.28. Case 2 suspension failure of Silverado model.	16
Figure 1.29. Front left suspension of Silverado model at failure.	17
Figure 1.30. Case 2 Silverado model at maximum roll.	17
Figure 1.31. Case 2 Silverado model at maximum pitch.	18
Figure 1.32. Case 2 Silverado model at maximum yaw.	18
Figure 1.33. Top view of vehicle exit.	19
Figure 1.34. Longitudinal acceleration history at CG Case 2.	20
Figure 1.35. Lateral acceleration history at CG Case 2.	20
Figure 1.36. Vertical acceleration history at CG Case 2.	21
Figure 1.37. Roll, Pitch, and Yaw angles Case 2.	21
Figure 1.38. Summary of results for Case 2 simulation.	22
Figure 2.1. Layout of the guardrail on slope.	26
Figure 2.2. Guardrail on slope prior to testing.	27
Figure 5.1. Vehicle/installation geometrics for test 405160-20-1.	34
Figure 5.2. Vehicle before test 405160-20-1.	35
Figure 5.3. Vehicle/installation positions after test 405160-20-1.	37

LIST OF FIGURES (CONTINUED)

	<u>Page</u>
Figure 5.4. Installation after test 405160-20-1.....	38
Figure 5.5. Vehicle after test 405160-20-1.....	39
Figure 5.6. Interior of vehicle for test 405160-20-1.....	40
Figure 5.7. Summary of results for <i>MASH</i> test 3-11 on the guardrail on slope.	41
Figure 6.1. Vehicle/installation geometrics for test 405160-20-2.....	46
Figure 6.2. Vehicle before test 405160-20-2.....	47
Figure 6.3. Vehicle/installation positions after test 405160-20-2.	49
Figure 6.4. Installation after test 405160-20-2.	50
Figure 6.5. Vehicle after test 405160-20-2.....	51
Figure 6.6. Interior of vehicle for test 405160-20-2.....	52
Figure 6.7. Summary of results for <i>MASH</i> test 3-10 on the guardrail on slope.	53
Figure C1. Summary of strong soil test results for establishing installation procedure.	73
Figure C2. Test day static soil strength documentation for test 405160-20-1.	74
Figure C3. Test day static soil strength documentation for test 405160-20-2.	75
Figure D1. Sequential photographs for test 405160-20-1 (overhead and frontal views).....	81
Figure D2. Sequential photographs for test 405160-20-1 (rear view).	83
Figure D3. Vehicle angular displacements for test 405160-20-1.....	84
Figure D4. Vehicle longitudinal accelerometer trace for test 405160-20-1 (accelerometer located at center of gravity).	85
Figure D5. Vehicle lateral accelerometer trace for test 405160-20-1 (accelerometer located at center of gravity).	86
Figure D6. Vehicle vertical accelerometer trace for test 405160-20-1 (accelerometer located at center of gravity).	87
Figure D7. Vehicle longitudinal accelerometer trace for test 405160-20-1 (accelerometer located rear of center of gravity).	88
Figure D8. Vehicle lateral accelerometer trace for test 405160-20-1 (accelerometer located rear of center of gravity).....	89
Figure D9. Vehicle vertical accelerometer trace for test 405160-20-1 (accelerometer located rear of center of gravity).....	90
Figure E1. Sequential photographs for test 405160-20-2 (overhead and frontal views).....	94
Figure E2. Sequential photographs for test 405160-20-2 (rear view).	96
Figure E3. Vehicle angular displacements for test 405160-20-2.....	97
Figure E4. Vehicle longitudinal accelerometer trace for test 405160-20-2 (accelerometer located at center of gravity).	98
Figure E5. Vehicle lateral accelerometer trace for test 405160-20-2 (accelerometer located at center of gravity).	99
Figure E6. Vehicle vertical accelerometer trace for test 405160-20-2 (accelerometer located at center of gravity).	100
Figure E7. Vehicle longitudinal accelerometer trace for test 405160-20-2 (accelerometer located rear of center of gravity).	101
Figure E8. Vehicle lateral accelerometer trace for test 405160-20-2 (accelerometer located rear of center of gravity).....	102
Figure E9. Vehicle vertical accelerometer trace for test 405160-20-2 (accelerometer located rear of center of gravity).....	103


LIST OF TABLES

		<u>Page</u>
Table 1.1.	TRAP output from simulation Case 1.....	11
Table 1.2.	TRAP output from Silverado simulation Case 2.	19
Table 7.1.	Performance evaluation summary for <i>MASH</i> test 3-11 on the guardrail on slope.	58
Table 7.2.	Performance evaluation summary for <i>MASH</i> test 3-10 on the guardrail on slope.	59
Table D1.	Vehicle properties for test 405160-20-1.	77
Table D2.	Measurements of vehicle vertical CG for test 405160-20-1.	78
Table D3.	Exterior crush measurements for test 405160-20-1.	79
Table D4.	Occupant compartment measurements for test 405160-20-1.	80
Table E1.	Vehicle properties for test 405160-20-2.	91
Table E2.	Exterior crush measurements for test 405160-20-2.	92
Table E3.	Occupant compartment measurements for test 405160-20-2.	93

1. DEVELOPMENT OF A W-BEAM GUARDRAIL ON SLOPE

1.1 PROBLEM

The American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) *Roadside Design Guide* recommends guardrail be installed with the back edges of the guardrail posts 2 ft from a slope break. In many mountainous areas or in locations with tight environmental controls, this width is difficult to provide. As a result, designers often have to make a trade-off between reduced shoulder width and a less than optimal guardrail placement. The Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) Design Manual (1) provides for the placement of the guardrail post closer to or on slopes as steep as 1H:1V as illustrated in figure 1.1.



Notes:

Use cases 1, 2, and 3 when there is 2 ft or greater shoulder widening from face of guardrail to the breakpoint.

Use cases 4, 5, and 6 when there is less than 2 ft shoulder widening from face of guardrail to the breakpoint.

Figure 1.1. Allowable Post on Slope Installation Cases from WSDOT Design Manual Page 710-25.

1.2 BACKGROUND

Earliest known research about guardrail placement on slopes was conducted by ENSCO, Inc. (2), which included a series of pendulum tests on a single post and three full-scale crash tests. Two tests of a large sedan impacting a G4(1S) guardrail system installed on a break point of a 2H:1V slope were successful in redirecting the sedan per National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) *Report 230* (3). One of the tests used a 6-ft post length while the other used a 7-ft post length. The 7-ft post length installation had better performance (less rail deflection and vehicle speed change) than the 6-ft post length installation.


Polivka, et al (4) performed a series of bogie tests and a crash test of steel post guardrail system with a 2000P test vehicle per *NCHRP Report 350* (5) Test Level 3 (TL-3). The impact region had 7-ft long W6×8.5 steel posts placed 3 ft-1.5 inches on centers. At 3 ft-1.5 inches, these posts were placed on the break of a 2H:1V slope with 4 ft-7 inch embedment depth. The crash test was considered successful per *NCHRP Report 350* test evaluation criteria.

In 2008, Polivka, et al. performed another test for a guardrail on 2H:1V slope due to the expensive cost of the original system tested with 3 ft-1.5 inch post spacing and 7 ft posts (6). The new system consisted of using the Midwest Guardrail System, installed on a 2H:1V slope break using 9 ft long posts with 75-inch spacing. The test vehicle was a 5013 lb Dodge Ram. The system successfully passed American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) *Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware (MASH)* performance criteria (7).

During the first phase of this research, TTI researchers conducted bogie tests to choose an optimal post length, simulated the bogie tests to validate component models, and performed impact simulations for three different design alternatives with varying post spacing and rail gauge. After a recommendation to use soil plates, another set of bogie tests were performed to test the characteristics of posts with a soil plate. Two scenarios with soil plate posts were simulated and added to the first three for analysis. A guardrail system was chosen for full scale crash testing based on the analysis of the five simulation outcomes.

1.3 PREVIOUS FULL-SCALE TEST

In Phase I of this research, a guardrail on slope design was recommended based on finite element impact simulations (8). The system was 175 ft in total length and was comprised of 12 gauge W-beam mounted on W6×8.5 steel posts. The guardrail length of need was 100 ft, and a 37.5 ft long ET Plus terminal anchored the guardrail on each end. A 2H:1V sloped ditch was excavated behind the rail to represent the sloped terrain. The ditch was centered along the installation length and was 68 ft-9 inches long and 8 ft wide. Six-ft long posts were placed at 6 ft-3 inch spacing on the flat terrain portion of the guardrail. Along the sloped section, the 8-ft long posts were placed at 3 ft-1.5 inch spacing. Standard size 6 inch × 8 inch × 14 inch routed wood blockouts were used in the length of need section. Rail mounting height to the top of the W-beam rail element was 27 inches. A cross sectional view of the guardrail installation is shown in figure 1.2. Plan and elevation views are shown figure 1.3.



SECTION A-A
W6X8.5 STEEL POSTS, 8' LONG W/
6"x8"x14" ROUTED WOOD BLOCKOUTS

Figure 1.2. Cross section of the guardrail on slope system tested by TTI.




Figure 1.3. Guardrail on slope system as tested by TTI.

1.3.1 Test Description

The crash test performed was *NCHRP Report 350* test designation 3-11, which involved a 2000 kg (4409 lb) pickup truck impacting the CIP of the length of need section at a nominal speed of 100 km/h (62 mi/h) and a nominal angle of 25 degrees. The vehicle was redirected by the guardrail system; however, it rolled on its side upon exiting the guardrail system. Figure 1.4 shows sequential photos of the impact event. Figure 1.5 and figure 1.6 show damage to the guardrail and the vehicle respectively.



Figure 1.4. Impact sequentials for TTI test 405160-4-1.



Figure 1.5. Guardrail damage.



Figure 1.6. Vehicle damage.

1.3.2 Conclusions

In the full-scale crash test, the 2000P vehicle was contained and redirected. However, after exiting the installation, the vehicle rolled onto its left side and came to rest on its left side 135 ft. downstream of impact and 34 ft. forward of the traffic face of the rail. Due to this rollover event, the guardrail on 2H:1V slope did not meet the criteria for *NCHRP Report 350* test 3-11.

The steel post model used for the simulation was comprised of different parts with different thicknesses to accurately represent the shape and properties of a W6×9 steel post. The W-beam rail was raised 4 inches to a height of 31 inches. Therefore, the embedment depth of the steel post in the soil was decreased 4 inches. The model of the steel post is shown in figure 1.8.




Figure 1.8 Steel post model.

The W-beam model had a finer mesh than the previous W-beam model. The reason for the finer mesh was to be able to capture more detailed deformation and stress profile of the W-beam as it engaged the impacting vehicle. The W-beam mesh is shown in figure 1.9.




Figure 1.9. 12-gauge W-beam model.

Additionally, the soil in these simulation cases had a finer mesh than the previous soil model to provide more detailed deformation and interaction with the posts. The setup of the post and soil model is shown in figure 1.10. An initial simulation was conducted to capture steady state conditions under gravitational load such that the stresses in the soil were in equilibrium. Figure 1.11 shows the vertical stresses of the initialized soil model under gravitational load.




Figure 1.10. Mesh for combined soil and post models.




Figure 1.11. Initialized soil model.

The vehicle model in the simulations was the Chevrolet Silverado model. The Silverado model represents a *MASH* 2270P test vehicle. The Chevrolet Silverado vehicle model was developed by the National Crash Analysis Center (NCAC) at George Washington University. This vehicle model is shown in figure 1.12. At the time of this project, there was no vehicle model available representing the *MASH* 1100C test vehicle.



Figure 1.12. Chevrolet Silverado model.

1.4.3 Simulation Results

1.4.3.1 Case 1

In the simulation model for Case 1, there were 19 W6×9 steel posts (8-ft long) spaced at 3 ft-1.5 inches apart with standard 8-inch wood blockouts. The 12 gauge W-beam was connected to the steel posts by A325 5/8-inch diameter bolts and is placed with a top of rail height of 31 inches from the ground. The steel posts were offset from the rail splice locations. The modeled and simulated length was 60 ft. The setup for Case 1 is shown in figure 1.13.




Figure 1.13. Model setup for Case 1.

1.4.3.1.1 Maximum Deflection of Guardrail System

Figure 1.14 shows the point of maximum deflection which occurred at approximately 0.14 seconds. The rail system reached a maximum deflection of 2.58 ft. At this point, the Silverado model had detached three posts from the rail and the driver side of the pickup truck was in contact with the rail system.




Figure 1.14. Top view of maximum deflection of system for Case 1 simulation.

Figure 1.15 shows the contours for the plastic strain within the W-beam at the time of maximum rail deflection. This indicates a low likelihood of rupture of the rail since the plastic strain values are well below rupture strain levels.




Figure 1.15. Contours of W-beam plastic strain during simulation.

1.4.3.1.2 Vehicle Roll, Pitch, and Yaw

The maximum roll, pitch, and yaw of the Silverado model were determined using TRAP. The maximum roll of the Silverado model was -8.3 degrees at 0.240 seconds, as shown in figure 1.16. The maximum pitch of the Silverado model was 8.6 degrees at 0.284 seconds which is shown in figure 1.17. The maximum yaw of the Silverado model was 22.6 degrees and occurred at 0.297 seconds, as shown in figure 1.18.




Figure 1.16. Case 1 Silverado model at maximum roll.




Figure 1.17. Case 1 Silverado model at maximum pitch.



Figure 1.18. Case 1 Silverado model at maximum yaw.

1.4.3.1.3 Vehicle Exit

The Silverado did not exit the system due to pocketing and wheel snag of the front left tire. The simulation became numerically unstable at this point and terminated.




Figure 1.19. Vehicle pocketing and wheel snag upon exit.

A summary of occupant risk assessments is shown in table 1.1. Graphs of vehicular acceleration histories and angular displacement histories are shown in figures 1.20 through figure 1.23. A summary of pertinent data for the simulation is shown in figure 1.24.

Table 1.1. TRAP output from simulation Case 1.

Occupant Risk Factors			
Impact Velocity (m/s) at 0.1519sec on left side of interior			
x-direction:	6.3		Rec: <9 m/s
y-direction:	-4.3		Max: <12 m/s
THIV (km/hr):	32.7	at 0.1633sec on left side of interior	
THIV (m/s):	9.1		
Ridedown Acceleration (G's)			
x-direction:	-17.1	(0.2778-0.2878 sec)	Rec: <15 G's
y-direction:	7.7	(0.2000-0.2100 sec)	Max: <20 G's
PHD (G's):	17.6	(0.2778-0.2878 sec)	
ASI:	1.03	(0.2383-0.2883 sec)	
Maximum 50 msec Moving Average Acceleration (G's)			
x-direction:	-11.4	(0.2432-0.2932 sec)	
y-direction:	5.3	(0.1628-0.2128 sec)	
z-direction:	3.0	(0.2351-0.2851 sec)	




Figure 1.20. Longitudinal acceleration history at CG Case 1.




Figure 1.21. Lateral acceleration history at CG Case 1.




Figure 1.22. Vertical acceleration history at CG Case 1.





Figure 1.23. Roll, pitch, and yaw angles at CG Case 1.

**General Information**

Test Agency Texas A&M Transportation Institute (TTI)
 Test Standard Test No. MASH test 3-11
 Test No. Case 1
 Date August 27, 2012

Test Article

Type Guardrail
 Name WSDOT Guardrail on Slope
 Installation Length 60 ft
 Material or Key Elements 31" rail on 2H:1V Slope, 3'-1.5" Spacing

Soil Type and Condition..... Standard soil**Test Vehicle**

Type/Designation 2270P
 Make and Model Chevy Silverado
 Curb 2270 kg
 Test Inertial 2270 kg
 Dummy No dummy
 Gross Static 2270 kg

Impact Conditions

Speed 100 km/h
 Angle 25 degrees
 Location/Orientation Post 6

Exit Conditions

Speed N/A
 Angle N/A

Occupant Risk Values

Impact Velocity
 Longitudinal 4.3 m/s
 Lateral 6.3 m/s
 Ridedown Accelerations
 Longitudinal 7.7 G
 Lateral 17.1 G
 THIV 32.7 km/h
 PHD 17.6 G

Max. 0.050-s Average

Longitudinal -5.3 G
 Lateral 11.4 G
 Vertical 3.0 G

Post-Impact Trajectory

Stopping Distance N/A

Vehicle Stability

Maximum Yaw Angle 22.6 degrees
 Maximum Pitch Angle 8.6 degrees
 Maximum Roll Angle 8.3 degrees
 Vehicle Snagging Yes
 Vehicle Pocketing Yes

Test Article Deflections

Dynamic 2.58 ft
 Permanent N/A
 Working Width N/A

Vehicle Damage

VDS N/A
 CDC N/A
 Max. Exterior Deformation N/A
 OCDI N/A
 Max. Occupant Compartment
 Deformation N/A

Figure 1.24. Summary of results for Case 1 simulation.

1.4.3.2 Case 2

In the simulation model for Case 2, there were ten W6×9 steel posts (8-ft long) spaced at 6 ft-3 inches apart with standard 8-inch wood blockouts. The 12 gauge W-beam was connected to the steel posts by A325 5/8-inch diameter bolts at a height of 31 inches from the ground. The steel posts were offset from the rail splices. The setup for Case 2 is shown in figure 1.25.




Figure 1.25. Model setup for Case 1.

1.4.3.2.1 *Maximum Deflection of Guardrail System*

Figure 1.26 shows the point of maximum deflection which occurred at approximately 0.23 seconds. The rail system reached maximum deflection of 3.4 ft. At this point the Silverado model had bent five steel posts to the ground and the driver side of the pickup truck was in contact with the rail system.




Figure 1.26. Case 2 top view of maximum deflection of system.

Figure 1.27 shows the contours for the plastic strain within the W-beam at the time of maximum rail deflection. This indicates a low likelihood of rupture of the rail since the plastic strain values are well below rupture strain levels.




Figure 1.27. Contours of W-beam plastic strain during simulation.

1.4.3.2.2 Vehicle Suspension Failure

At 0.27 seconds, the front left suspension of the Silverado model started to fail as a result of post contact, and allowed the front left wheel of the truck began to deflect outward, as shown in figure 1.28 and figure 1.29.




Figure 1.28. Case 2 suspension failure of Silverado model.

MASH TL 3-11, Guardrail on Slope
Time = 0.27




Figure 1.29. Front left suspension of Silverado model at failure.

1.4.3.2.3 Vehicle Roll, Pitch, and Yaw

The maximum roll, pitch, and yaw of the Silverado model were determined using TRAP. The maximum roll of the Silverado model was -11.7 degrees at 0.2941 seconds, as shown in figure 1.30. The maximum pitch of the Silverado model was 12.4 degrees at 0.6239 seconds, which is shown in figure 1.31. The maximum yaw of the Silverado model was 31.1 degrees at 0.4210 seconds, as shown in figure 1.32.

MASH TL 3-11, Guardrail on Slope
Time = 0.29



Figure 1.30. Case 2 Silverado model at maximum roll.




Figure 1.31. Case 2 Silverado model at maximum pitch.




Figure 1.32. Case 2 Silverado model at maximum yaw.

1.4.3.2.4 *Vehicle Exit*

The Silverado model exited the rail system at a time of 0.63 seconds and an angle of 21 degrees, as shown in figure 1.33.

A summary of occupant risk assessments is shown in table 1.2. Graphs of vehicular acceleration histories and angular displacement histories are shown in figure 1.34 through figure 1.37. A summary of pertinent data for the simulation is shown in figure 1.38.




Figure 1.33. Top view of vehicle exit.

Table 1.2. TRAP output from Silverado simulation Case 2.

Occupant Risk Factors			
Impact Velocity (m/s) at 0.1683sec on left side of interior			
x-direction:	4.9		Rec: <9 m/s
y-direction:	-4.4		Max: <12 m/s
THIV (km/hr):	28.8	at 0.1792 sec on left side of interior	
THIV (m/s):	8.0		
Ridedown Acceleration (G's)			
x-direction:	-11.1	(0.1694-0.1794 sec)	
y-direction:	9.1	(0.2534-0.2634 sec)	
PHD (G's):	12.6	(0.2495-0.2595 sec)	
ASI:	0.82	(0.2131-0.2631 sec)	
Maximum 50 msec Moving Average Acceleration (G's)			
x-direction:	-7.0	(0.1515-0.2015 sec)	
y-direction:	6.5	(0.2171-0.2671 sec)	
z-direction:	-2.8	(0.2041-0.2541 sec)	




Figure 1.34. Longitudinal acceleration history at CG Case 2.




Figure 1.35. Lateral acceleration history at CG Case 2.




Figure 1.36. Vertical acceleration history at CG Case 2.





Figure 1.37. Roll, Pitch, and Yaw angles Case 2.

**General Information**

Test Agency Texas A&M Transportation Institute (TTI)
 Test Standard Test No. MASH test 3-11
 Test No. Case 2
 Date August 27, 2012

Test Article

Type Guardrail
 Name WSDOT Guardrail on Slope
 Installation Length 60 ft
 Material or Key Elements 31" rail on 2H:1V Slope, 6'-3" Spacing

Soil Type and Condition..... Standard soil

Test Vehicle

Type/Designation 2270P
 Make and Model Chevy Silverado
 Curb 2270 kg
 Test Inertial 2270 kg
 Dummy No dummy
 Gross Static 2270 kg

Impact Conditions

Speed 100 km/h
 Angle 25 degrees
 Location/Orientation Post 4

Exit Conditions

Speed 38.8 km/h
 Angle 21 degrees

Occupant Risk Values

Impact Velocity
 Longitudinal 4.4 m/s
 Lateral 4.9 m/s
 Ridedown Accelerations
 Longitudinal 9.1 G
 Lateral 11.1 G
 THIV 28.8 km/h
 PHD 12.6 G
 Max. 0.050-s Average
 Longitudinal -6.5 G
 Lateral -7.0 G
 Vertical -2.8 G

Post-Impact Trajectory

Stopping Distance N/A

Vehicle Stability

Maximum Yaw Angle 31.1 degrees
 Maximum Pitch Angle 12.4 degrees
 Maximum Roll Angle 11.7 degrees
 Vehicle Snagging Yes
 Vehicle Pocketing No

Test Article Deflections

Dynamic 3.4 ft
 Permanent N/A
 Working Width N/A

Vehicle Damage

VDS N/A
 CDC N/A
 Max. Exterior Deformation N/A
 OCDI N/A
 Max. Occupant Compartment
 Deformation N/A

Figure 1.38. Summary of results for Case 2 simulation.

1.5 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR TESTING

In simulation Case 1, significant wheel snag and a high ridedown acceleration were observed. In simulation Case 2, with 6 ft-3 inch spacing and 8-ft posts, the 2270P vehicle was successfully redirected and contained without significant wheel snag or pocketing. The occupant risk factors were lower than those resulting from Case 1. Hence, it was recommended to test a guardrail on slope system that represents the design modeled in Case2.

2. SYSTEM DETAILS

2.1 TEST ARTICLE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION

The guardrail on slope system test installation had a total length of 181.25 ft. The system was comprised of a 106.25 ft length of need section and a 37.5 ft long ET Plus terminal on each end. The 12-gauge W-beam was mounted on W6×8.5 steel posts. The guardrail height was 31 inches above the flat terrain. A 2H:1V sloped ditch was excavated behind the rail to represent the sloped terrain. The ditch was centered along the installation length and was 75 ft long and 12 ft wide. An overview of the system installation and profile of the ditch section is shown in figure 2.1.

Six 6-ft long W6×8.5 posts were placed at 6 ft-3 inch spacing on the flat terrain portion of the test installation. These were posts 7-9 and 22-24. Along the sloped section, the 8-ft long posts were placed at 6 ft-3 inch spacing. These were post 10 through post 21, as shown in the drawing in figure 2.1. Standard size 8 inch × 6 inch × 14 inch wood blocks were used in the length of need section. The rail splices of the W-beam rail sections in the length of need portion are located between posts as shown in Figure 2.1.

Details of the installation are shown in figure 2.1 and in appendix A. Photos of the completed installation are shown in figure 2.2. The guardrail was constructed such that the face of the W-beam rail was aligned with the slope break of the ditch, as shown in figure 2.1.

2.2 MATERIAL SPECIFICATIONS

Materials used for this installation are summarized in appendix B. For further details of the certification documentation for these materials, please contact Texas A&M Transportation Institute (TTI) Proving Ground for documents on file.

2.3 SOIL CONDITIONS

The test installation was installed in standard soil meeting AASHTO standard specifications for “Materials for Aggregate and Soil Aggregate Subbase, Base and Surface Courses”, designated M147-65(2004), grading B. In accordance with Appendix B of *MASH*, soil strength was measured the day of the crash test. During installation of the guardrail on slope for full-scale crash testing, two standard W6×16 posts were installed in the immediate vicinity of the guardrail on slope, utilizing the same fill materials and installation procedures used in the standard dynamic test (see Appendix C, figure C1).

As determined in the tests shown in Appendix C, figure C1, the minimum post load required for deflections at 5 inches, 10 inches, and 15 inches, measured at a height of 25 inches, is 3940 lb, 5500 lb, and 6540 lb, respectively (90 percent of static load for the initial standard installation). On the day of test 405160-20-1, January 18, 2012, load on the post at deflections of 5 inches, 10 inches, and 15 inches was 6454 lbf, 6576 lbf, and 6606 lbf, respectively. The strength of the backfill material met minimum requirements. On the day of test 405160-20-2, load on the post at deflections of 5 inches, 10 inches, and 15 inches was 8600 lbf, 9120 lbf, and 9180 lbf, respectively. Results of these loading tests are provided in Appendix C, figures C2 and C3, respectively.




Figure 2.1. Layout of the guardrail on slope.



Figure 2.2. Guardrail on slope prior to testing.

3. TEST REQUIREMENTS AND EVALUATION CRITERIA

3.1 CRASH TEST MATRIX

According to *MASH*, two tests are recommended to evaluate longitudinal barriers to test level three (TL-3).

***MASH* Test Designation 3-10:** A 2425 lb vehicle impacting the length of need section at a speed of 62 mi/h and an angle of 20 degrees.

***MASH* Test Designation 3-11:** A 5000 lb pickup truck impacting the length of need section at a speed of 62 mi/h and an angle of 25 degrees.

Both *MASH* TL-3 tests were performed. The critical impact point for these tests were determined using *MASH* guidelines. Target impact point for *MASH* test 3-11 was 3 inches upstream of post 14. Target impact point for *MASH* test 3-10 was 33 inches upstream of post 15 (near rail splice between posts 14 and 15).

The crash test and data analysis procedures were in accordance with guidelines presented in *MASH*. Chapter 4 presents brief descriptions of these procedures.

3.2 EVALUATION CRITERIA

The crash test was evaluated in accordance with the criteria presented in *MASH*. The performance of the guardrail on slope is judged on the basis of three factors: structural adequacy, occupant risk, and post impact vehicle trajectory. Structural adequacy is judged upon the ability of the guardrail on slope to contain and redirect the vehicle, or bring the vehicle to a controlled stop in a predictable manner. Occupant risk criteria evaluates the potential risk of hazard to occupants in the impacting vehicle, and to some extent other traffic, pedestrians, or workers in construction zones, if applicable. Post impact vehicle trajectory is assessed to determine potential for secondary impact with other vehicles or fixed objects, creating further risk of injury to occupants of the impacting vehicle and/or risk of injury to occupants in other vehicles. The appropriate safety evaluation criteria from table 5.1 of *MASH* were used to evaluate the crash test reported herein, and are listed in further detail under the assessment of the crash test.

4. TEST CONDITIONS

4.1 TEST FACILITY

The full-scale crash test reported herein was performed at Texas A&M Transportation Institute (TTI) Proving Ground. TTI Proving Ground is an International Standards Organization (ISO) 17025 accredited laboratory with American Association for Laboratory Accreditation (A2LA) Mechanical Testing certificate 2821.01. The full-scale crash test was performed according to TTI Proving Ground quality procedures and according to the *MASH* guidelines and standards.

The test facilities at the TTI Proving Ground consist of a 2000 acre complex of research and training facilities situated 10 miles northwest of the main campus of Texas A&M University. The site, formerly an Air Force Base, has large expanses of concrete runways and parking aprons well suited for experimental research and testing in the areas of vehicle performance and handling, vehicle-roadway interaction, durability and efficacy of highway pavements, and safety evaluation of roadside safety hardware. The site selected for the installation of the guardrail on slope was along the edge of a wide out-of-service apron. The apron consists of an unreinforced jointed concrete pavement in 12.5 ft × 15 ft blocks nominally 6 inches deep. The aprons are over 50 years old and the joints have some displacement, but are otherwise flat and level.

4.2 VEHICLE TOW AND GUIDANCE SYSTEM

The test vehicle was towed into the test installation using a steel cable guidance and reverse tow system. A steel cable for guiding the test vehicle was tensioned along the path, anchored at each end, and threaded through an attachment to the front wheel of the test vehicle. An additional steel cable was connected to the test vehicle, passed around a pulley near the impact point, through a pulley on the tow vehicle, and then anchored to the ground such that the tow vehicle moved away from the test site. A two-to-one speed ratio between the test and tow vehicle existed with this system. Just prior to impact with the installation, the test vehicle was released to be free-wheeling and unrestrained. The vehicle remained free-wheeling, i.e., no steering or braking inputs.

4.3 DATA ACQUISITION SYSTEMS

4.3.1 Vehicle Instrumentation and Data Processing

The test vehicle was instrumented with a self-contained, on-board data acquisition system. The signal conditioning and acquisition system is a 16-channel, Tiny Data Acquisition System (TDAS) Pro produced by Diversified Technical Systems, Inc. The accelerometers, that measure the x, y, and z axis of vehicle acceleration, are strain gauge type with linear millivolt output proportional to acceleration. Angular rate sensors, measuring vehicle roll, pitch, and yaw rates, are ultra small size, solid state units designs for crash test service. The TDAS Pro hardware and software conform to the latest SAE J211, Instrumentation for Impact Test. Each of the 16 channels is capable of providing precision amplification, scaling and filtering based on

transducer specifications and calibrations. During the test, data are recorded from each channel at a rate of 10,000 values per second with a resolution of one part in 65,536. Once recorded, the data are backed up inside the unit by internal batteries should the primary battery cable be severed. Initial contact of the pressure switch on the vehicle bumper provides a time zero mark as well as initiating the recording process. After each test, the data are downloaded from the TDAS Pro unit into a laptop computer at the test site. The raw data are then processed by the Test Risk Assessment Program (TRAP) software to produce detailed reports of the test results. Each of the TDAS Pro units are returned to the factory annually for complete recalibration. Accelerometers and rate transducers are also calibrated annually with traceability to the National Institute for Standards and Technology. Acceleration data is measured with an expanded uncertainty of $\pm 1.7\%$ at a confidence fracture of 95% ($k=2$).

TRAP uses the data from the TDAS Pro to compute occupant/compartiment impact velocities, time of occupant/compartiment impact after vehicle impact, and the highest 10-millisecond (ms) average ridedown acceleration. TRAP calculates change in vehicle velocity at the end of a given impulse period. In addition, maximum average accelerations over 50-ms intervals in each of the three directions are computed. For reporting purposes, the data from the vehicle-mounted accelerometers are filtered with a 60-Hz digital filter, and acceleration versus time curves for the longitudinal, lateral, and vertical directions are plotted using TRAP.

TRAP uses the data from the yaw, pitch, and roll rate transducers to compute angular displacement in degrees at 0.0001-s intervals and then plots yaw, pitch, and roll versus time. These displacements are in reference to the vehicle-fixed coordinate system with the initial position and orientation of the vehicle-fixed coordinate systems being initial impact. Rate of rotation data is measured with an expanded uncertainty of $\pm 0.7\%$ at a confidence factor of 95% ($k=2$).

4.3.2 Anthropomorphic Dummy Instrumentation

An Alderson Research Laboratories Hybrid II, 50th percentile male anthropomorphic dummy, restrained with lap and shoulder belts, was placed in the driver's position of the 1100C vehicle. The dummy was uninstrumented. Use of a dummy in the 2270P vehicle is optional according to *MASH*, and there was no dummy used in the tests with the 2270P vehicle.

4.3.3 Photographic Instrumentation and Data Processing

Photographic coverage of the test included three high-speed cameras: one overhead with a field of view perpendicular to the ground and directly over the impact point; one placed behind the installation at an angle; and a third placed to have a field of view parallel to and aligned with the installation at the downstream end. A flashbulb activated by pressure-sensitive tape switches was positioned on the impacting vehicle to indicate the instant of contact with the installation and was visible from each camera. The films from these high-speed cameras were analyzed on a computer-linked motion analyzer to observe phenomena occurring during the collision and to obtain time-event, displacement, and angular data. A mini-DV camera and still cameras recorded and documented conditions of the test vehicle and installation before and after the test.

5. CRASH TEST 405160-20-1 (MASH TEST NO. 3-11)

5.1 TEST DESIGNATION AND ACTUAL IMPACT CONDITIONS

MASH test 3-11 involves a 2270P vehicle weighing 5000 lb $\pm$ 110 lb and impacting the guardrail on slope at an impact speed of 62.2 mi/h $\pm$ 2.5 mi/h and an angle of 25 degrees $\pm$ 1.5 degrees. The target impact point was 3 inches upstream of post 14. The 2006 Dodge Ram 1500 pickup truck used in the test weighed 5044 lb and the actual impact speed and angle were 63.9 mi/h and 25.0 degrees, respectively. The actual impact point was 11.1 inches upstream of post 14. Target impact severity (IS) was 115.1 kip-ft, and actual IS was 123.0 kip-ft, or 6.9 percent greater than target.

5.2 TEST VEHICLE

A 2006 Dodge Ram 1500 pickup truck, shown in figures 5.1 and 5.2, was used for the crash test. Test inertia weight of the vehicle was 5044 lb, and its gross static weight was 5044 lb. The height to the lower edge of the vehicle front bumper was 13.75 inches, and the height to the upper edge of the front bumper was 25.38 inches. The height to the center of gravity was 28.62 inches. Additional dimensions and information on the vehicle are given in appendix D, tables D1 and D2. The vehicle was directed into the installation using the cable reverse tow and guidance system, and was released to be free-wheeling and unrestrained just prior to impact.

5.3 WEATHER CONDITIONS

The crash test was performed the morning of January 18, 2012. Weather conditions at the time of testing were: Wind speed: 2 mi/h; wind direction: 187 degrees with respect to the vehicle (vehicle was traveling in a northwesterly direction); temperature: 49°F; relative humidity: 50 percent.

5.4 TEST DESCRIPTION

The 2006 Dodge Ram 1500 pickup truck, traveling at an impact speed of 63.9 mi/h, impacted the guardrail on slope 11.1 inches upstream of post 14 at an impact angle of 25.0 degrees. Shortly after impact, post 14 began to deflect toward the field side, and 0.012 s, post 15 began to deflect toward the field side. Post 13 began to deflect toward the field side at 0.024 s, the vehicle began to redirect at 0.041 s. At 0.056 s, post 12 began to deflect toward the field side, and at 0.141 s, the left front tire continued to ride under the rail and contacted post 15. The rear of the vehicle contacted the guardrail at 0.179 s, and the left front tire rode under the rail and contacted post 16 at 0.233 s. At 0.263 s, the vehicle began to travel parallel with the guardrail traveling at a speed of 51.1 mi/h. The left front tire, still under the rail element, contacted posts 17 and 18 at 0.333 s and 0.433 s, respectively. At 0.549 s, the vehicle lost contact with the guardrail. At this time, the vehicle was out of view of the overhead camera and exit speed and angle were not obtainable from the camera. Judging from vehicle tire path, the exit angle was estimated to be 10 degrees. Brakes on the vehicle were not applied, and the vehicle yawed counterclockwise 180 degrees and came to rest 106.2 ft downstream of impact and 2 ft forward of the traffic face of the guardrail. Sequential photographs of the test period are shown in appendix D, figures D1 and D2.



Figure 5.1. Vehicle/installation geometrics for test 405160-20-1.



Figure 5.2. Vehicle before test 405160-20-1.

5.5 TEST ARTICLE AND COMPONENT DAMAGE

Damage to the guardrail on slope is shown in figures 5.3 and 5.4. Post 1 was pulled downstream 1.75 inches at ground level and post 2 through 9 rotated 5 degrees counterclockwise. Post 13 was deflected toward the field side 1 inch at ground level and rotated 5 degrees counterclockwise. Post 14 was rotated 75 degrees counterclockwise. Post 15 was rotated toward the field side 90 degrees and downstream 45 degrees. Posts 16 through 18 rotated 80 degrees, and the blockout separated from post 17. Post 19 was deflected toward the field side 15 degrees and downstream 5 degrees, and post 20 was deflected toward the field side 5 degrees. The W-beam rail element separated from posts 10 and 11, and posts 14 through 19. Post 30 was pulled upstream 0.25 inch at ground level. Length of contact of the vehicle with the guardrail was 41.4 ft. Working width was 4.6 ft. Maximum dynamic deflection of the rail element during the test was 4.3 ft, and maximum permanent deformation of the rail element after the test was 3.1 ft.

5.6 TEST VEHICLE DAMAGE

Figure 5.5 shows the damage sustained by the vehicle. The left tie rod end, left lower A-arm, and left frame rail were deformed. Also damaged were the front bumper, grill, hood, left front fender, left front tire and wheel rim, left front and left rear doors, left rear exterior bed, and the rear bumper. Maximum exterior crush to the vehicle was 11.0 inches in the front plane at the left front corner at bumper height. No occupant compartment deformation occurred. Photographs of the interior of the vehicle are shown in figure 5.6. Exterior vehicle crush and occupant compartment measurements are shown in appendix D, tables D3 and D4.

5.7 OCCUPANT RISK VALUES

Data from the accelerometer, located at the vehicle center of gravity, were digitized for evaluation of occupant risk. In the longitudinal direction, the occupant impact velocity was 15.1 ft/s at 0.153 s, the highest 0.010-s occupant ridedown acceleration was 9.0 Gs from 0.264 to 0.274 s, and the maximum 0.050-s average acceleration was -4.5 Gs between 0.101 and 0.151 s. In the lateral direction, the occupant impact velocity was 15.4 ft/s at 0.153 s, the highest 0.010-s occupant ridedown acceleration was 6.9 Gs from 0.218 to 0.228 s, and the maximum 0.050-s average was 5.1 Gs between 0.214 and 0.264 s. Theoretical Head Impact Velocity (THIV) was 22.3 km/h or 6.2 m/s at 0.148 s; Post-Impact Head Decelerations (PHD) was 10.1 Gs between 0.264 and 0.274 s; and Acceleration Severity Index (ASI) was 0.61 between 0.219 and 0.269 s. These data and other pertinent information from the test are summarized in figure 5.7. Vehicle angular displacements and accelerations versus time traces are presented in appendix D, figures D3 through D9.



Figure 5.3. Vehicle/installation positions after test 405160-20-1.




Figure 5.4. Installation after test 405160-20-1.



Figure 5.5. Vehicle after test 405160-20-1.



Figure 5.6. Interior of vehicle for test 405160-20-1.



General Information

Test Agency Texas A&M Transportation Institute (TTI)
 Test Standard Test No. MASH test 3-11
 TTI Test No. 405160-20-1
 Date January 18, 2012

Test Article

Type..... Guardrail
 Name WSDOT Guardrail on Slope
 Installation Length 181 ft 3 inches
 Material or Key Elements 12 gauge W-Beam Mounted on 8-ft long
 W6x8.5 Steel Posts on 2H:1V Slope

Soil Type and Condition..... Standard soil, dry

Test Vehicle

Type/Designation 2270P
 Make and Model..... 2006 Dodge Ram 1500
 Curb 4977 lb
 Test Inertial 5044 lb
 Dummy..... No dummy
 Gross Static..... 5044 lb

Impact Conditions

Speed.....63.9 mi/h
 Angle.....25.0 degrees
 Location/Orientation0.9 ft upstream of
 post 14

Impact Severity

.....123.0 kip-ft, >6.9%

Exit Conditions

Speed.....Not obtainable
 Angle.....~10 degrees

Occupant Risk Values

Impact Velocity
 Longitudinal.....15.1 ft/s
 Lateral15.4 ft/s
 Ridedown Accelerations
 Longitudinal.....9.0 G
 Lateral6.9 G
 THIV.....22.3 km/h
 PHD10.1 G
 ASI0.61
 Max. 0.050-s Average
 Longitudinal.....-4.5 G
 Lateral5.1 G
 Vertical1.8 G

Post-Impact Trajectory

Stopping Distance 106.2 ft downstrm
 2.0 ft twd traffic

Vehicle Stability

Maximum Yaw Angle..... 34 degrees
 Maximum Pitch Angle..... 3 degrees
 Maximum Roll Angle..... 13 degrees
 Vehicle Snagging..... No
 Vehicle Pocketing No

Test Article Deflections

Dynamic 4.3 ft
 Permanent..... 3.1 ft
 Working Width 4.6 ft

Vehicle Damage

VDS..... 11LFQ4
 CDC 11LFEW3
 Max. Exterior Deformation 11.0 inches
 OCDI nil
 Max. Occupant Compartment
 Deformation..... LS0000000

Figure 5.7. Summary of results for MASH test 3-11 on the guardrail on slope.

5.8 ASSESSMENT OF TEST RESULTS

An assessment of the test based on the following applicable *MASH* safety evaluation criteria is presented below.

5.8.1 Structural Adequacy

- A. *Test article should contain and redirect the vehicle or bring the vehicle to a controlled stop; the vehicle should not penetrate, underride, or override the installation although controlled lateral deflection of the test article is acceptable.*

Results: The guardrail on slope contained and redirected the 2270P vehicle. The vehicle did not penetrate, underride, or override the installation. Maximum dynamic deflection during the test was 4.3 ft. (PASS)

5.8.2 Occupant Risk

- D. *Detached elements, fragments, or other debris from the test article should not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or present an undue hazard to other traffic, pedestrians, or personnel in a work zone. Deformation of, or intrusions into, the occupant compartment should not exceed limits set forth in Section 5.3 and Appendix E of MASH. (roof ≤ 4.0 inches; windshield = ≤ 3.0 inches; side windows = no shattering by test article structural member; wheel/foot well/toe pan ≤ 9.0 inches; forward of A-pillar ≤ 12.0 inches; front side door area above seat ≤ 9.0 inches; front side door below seat ≤ 12.0 inches; floor pan/transmission tunnel area ≤ 12.0 inches)*

Results: The rail element separated from some of the posts and one blockout separated from a post. However, these elements did not penetrate, nor show potential to penetrate the occupant compartment, nor to present undue hazard to others in the area. (PASS)
No occupant compartment deformation occurred. (PASS)

- F. *The vehicle should remain upright during and after collision. The maximum roll and pitch angles are not to exceed 75 degrees.*

Results: The 2270P vehicle remained upright during and after the collision event. Maximum roll and pitch angles were 13 degrees and 3 degrees, respectively. (PASS)

- H. *Occupant impact velocities should satisfy the following:*

<u>Longitudinal and Lateral Occupant Impact Velocity</u>	
<u>Preferred</u>	<u>Maximum</u>
30 ft/s	40 ft/s

Results: Longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 15.1 ft/s, and lateral occupant impact velocity was 15.4 ft/s. (PASS)

- I. *Occupant ridedown accelerations should satisfy the following:*
Longitudinal and Lateral Occupant Ridedown Accelerations
- | <u><i>Preferred</i></u> | <u><i>Maximum</i></u> |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| 15.0 Gs | 20.49 Gs |

Results: Longitudinal ridedown acceleration was 9.0 G, and lateral ridedown acceleration was 6.9 G. (PASS)

5.8.3 Vehicle Trajectory

For redirective devices, the vehicle shall exit the barrier within the exit box (not less than 32.8 ft).

Result: The vehicle exited the guardrail within the exit box. (PASS)

6. CRASH TEST 405160-20-2 (MASH TEST NO. 3-10)

6.1 TEST DESIGNATION AND ACTUAL IMPACT CONDITIONS

MASH test 3-10 involves a 1100C vehicle weighing 2425 lb $\pm$ 55 lb and impacting the guardrail on slope at an impact speed of 62.2 mi/h $\pm$ 2.5 mi/h and an angle of 25 degrees $\pm$ 1.5 degrees. The target impact point was 33 inches upstream of post 15 (near joint between posts 14 and 15). The 2006 Kia Rio used in the test weighed 2593 lb and the actual impact speed and angle were 60.3 mi/h and 25.9 degrees, respectively. The actual impact point was 36.0 inches upstream of post 15. Target impact severity (IS) was 55.7 kip-ft, and actual IS was 60.1 kip-ft, or 7.9 percent greater than target.

6.2 TEST VEHICLE

A 2006 Kia Rio, shown in figures 6.1 and 6.2, was used for the crash test. Test inertia weight of the vehicle was 2429 lb, and its gross static weight was 2593 lb. The height to the lower edge of the vehicle front bumper was 7.12 inches, and the height to the upper edge of the front bumper was 21.0 inches. Additional dimensions and information on the vehicle are given in appendix E, table E1. The vehicle was directed into the installation using the cable reverse tow and guidance system, and was released to be free-wheeling and unrestrained just prior to impact.

6.3 WEATHER CONDITIONS

The crash test was performed the morning of April 20, 2012. Weather conditions at the time of testing were: Wind speed: 13 mi/h; wind direction: 213 degrees with respect to the vehicle (vehicle was traveling in a northwesterly direction); temperature: 73°F; relative humidity: 76 percent.

6.4 TEST DESCRIPTION

The 2006 Kia Rio, traveling at an impact speed of 60.3 mi/h, impacted the guardrail on slope 36.0 inches upstream of post 15 at an impact angle of 25.9 degrees. Shortly after impact, posts 14 and 15 began to deflect toward the field side of the guardrail, and at 0.036 s, the left front tire contacted post 15. The vehicle began to redirect at 0.050 s, and post 16 began to deflect toward the field side at 0.062 s. At 0.089 s, the left front tire contacted post 16, and at 0.105 s, post 17 began to deflect toward the field side. Post 18 began to deflect toward the field side at 0.111 s, and the left front tire contacted post 17 at 0.179 s. At 0.275 s, the vehicle began to travel parallel with the guardrail at a speed of 37.5 mi/h. The left front tire contacted post 18 at 0.276 s. At 0.545 s, the vehicle lost contact with the guardrail traveling at an exit speed and angle of 31.3 mi/h and 32.3 degrees, respectively. Brakes on the vehicle were applied at 2.4 s, and the vehicle yawed 180 degrees and came to rest 162.4 ft downstream of impact and forward of the traffic face of the guardrail. Sequential photographs of the test period are shown in appendix E, figures E1 and E2.



Figure 6.1. Vehicle/installation geometrics for test 405160-20-2.



Figure 6.2. Vehicle before test 405160-20-2.

6.5 TEST ARTICLE AND COMPONENT DAMAGE

Damage to the guardrail on slope is shown in figures 6.3 and 6.4. The soil around post 1 was disturbed. Post 14 was pushed toward the field side 1.0 inch at ground level. The metal rail element was separated from post 15 through 19. Post 15 was pushed toward the field side 5.0 inches at ground level and was leaning downstream 20 degrees. Post 16 through 18 were pushed toward the field side 5.0 inches and were leaning downstream 65 degrees. Post 19 was leaning toward the field side and downstream 45 degrees and rotated 60 degrees counterclockwise in the soil. Post 20 was leaning toward the field side 0.5 inch at ground level. Length of contact of the vehicle with the guardrail was 25.3 ft. Working width was 3.1 ft. Maximum dynamic deflection of the rail element during the test was 2.7 ft, and maximum permanent deformation of the rail element after the test was 1.9 ft.

6.6 TEST VEHICLE DAMAGE

Figure 6.5 shows the damage sustained by the vehicle. The left front strut and strut tower, left inner CV joint, and left lower A-arm and ball joint were deformed. Also damaged were the front bumper, grill, hood, left front fender, left front tire and wheel rim, left front and left rear doors, and left rear quarter panel. The windshield sustained stress cracks radiating from the left lower corner. Maximum exterior crush to the vehicle was 10.5 inches in the front plane at the left front corner at bumper height. No occupant compartment deformation occurred. Photographs of the interior of the vehicle are shown in figure 6.6. Exterior vehicle crush and occupant compartment measurements are shown in appendix E, tables E2 and E3.

6.7 OCCUPANT RISK VALUES

Data from the accelerometer, located at the vehicle center of gravity, were digitized for evaluation of occupant risk. In the longitudinal direction, the occupant impact velocity was 17.4 ft/s at 0.128 s, the highest 0.010-s occupant ridedown acceleration was 7.3 Gs from 0.182 to 0.192 s, and the maximum 0.050-s average acceleration was -5.7 Gs between 0.050 and 0.100 s. In the lateral direction, the occupant impact velocity was 16.1 ft/s at 0.128 s, the highest 0.010-s occupant ridedown acceleration was 6.8 Gs from 0.263 to 0.273 s, and the maximum 0.050-s average was 5.5 Gs between 0.015 and 0.065 s. Theoretical Head Impact Velocity (THIV) was 24.6 km/h or 6.8 m/s at 0.123 s; Post-Impact Head Decelerations (PHD) was 9.3 Gs between 0.182 and 0.192 s; and Acceleration Severity Index (ASI) was 0.75 between 0.143 and 0.193 s. These data and other pertinent information from the test are summarized in figure 6.7. Vehicle angular displacements and accelerations versus time traces are presented in appendix E, figures E3 through E9.



Figure 6.3. Vehicle/installation positions after test 405160-20-2.



Figure 6.4. Installation after test 405160-20-2.



Figure 6.5. Vehicle after test 405160-20-2.





Figure 6.6. Interior of vehicle for test 405160-20-2.



General Information

Test Agency Texas A&M Transportation Institute (TTI)
 Test Standard Test No. MASH test 3-10
 TTI Test No. 405160-20-2
 Date April 20, 2012

Test Article

Type Guardrail
 Name WSDOT Guardrail on Slope
 Installation Length 181 ft 3 inches
 Material or Key Elements 12 gauge W-Beam Mounted on 8-ft long
 W6x8.5 Steel Posts on 2H:1V Slope

Soil Type and Condition

Standard soil, dry

Test Vehicle

Type/Designation 1100C
 Make and Model 2006 Kia Rio
 Curb 2457 lb
 Test Inertial 2429 lb
 Dummy 164 lb
 Gross Static 2593 lb

Impact Conditions

Speed 60.3 mi/h
 Angle 25.9 degrees
 Location/Orientation 3.0 ft upstrm post 15

Impact Severity

..... 60.1 kip-ft, >7.9%

Exit Conditions

Speed 31.3 mi/h
 Angle 32.3 degrees

Occupant Risk Values

Impact Velocity
 Longitudinal 17.4 ft/s
 Lateral 16.1 ft/s
 Ridedown Accelerations
 Longitudinal 7.3 G
 Lateral 6.8 G
 THIV 24.6 km/h
 PHD 9.3 G
 ASI 0.75
 Max. 0.050-s Average
 Longitudinal -5.7 G
 Lateral 5.5 G
 Vertical -2.3 G

Post-Impact Trajectory

Stopping Distance 162.4 ft downstrm
 twd traffic

Vehicle Stability

Maximum Yaw Angle 38 degrees
 Maximum Pitch Angle 5 degrees
 Maximum Roll Angle 7 degrees
 Vehicle Snagging No
 Vehicle Pocketing No

Test Article Deflections

Dynamic 2.7 ft
 Permanent 1.9 ft
 Working Width 3.1 ft

Vehicle Damage

VDS 11LFQ3
 CDC 11FLEW3
 Max. Exterior Deformation 10.5 inches
 OCDI FS0000000
 Max. Occupant Compartment
 Deformation None

Figure 6.7. Summary of results for *MASH* test 3-10 on the guardrail on slope.

6.8 ASSESSMENT OF TEST RESULTS

An assessment of the test based on the following applicable *MASH* safety evaluation criteria is presented below.

6.8.1 Structural Adequacy

- B. Test article should contain and redirect the vehicle or bring the vehicle to a controlled stop; the vehicle should not penetrate, underride, or override the installation although controlled lateral deflection of the test article is acceptable.*

Results: The guardrail on slope contained and redirected the 1100C vehicle. The vehicle did not penetrate, underride, or override the installation. Maximum dynamic deflection during the test was 2.7 ft. (PASS)

6.8.2 Occupant Risk

- D. Detached elements, fragments, or other debris from the test article should not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or present an undue hazard to other traffic, pedestrians, or personnel in a work zone. Deformation of, or intrusions into, the occupant compartment should not exceed limits set forth in Section 5.3 and Appendix E of MASH. (roof ≤ 4.0 inches; windshield = ≤ 3.0 inches; side windows = no shattering by test article structural member; wheel/foot well/toe pan ≤ 9.0 inches; forward of A-pillar ≤ 12.0 inches; front side door area above seat ≤ 9.0 inches; front side door below seat ≤ 12.0 inches; floor pan/transmission tunnel area ≤ 12.0 inches)*

Results: The rail element separated from some of the posts. However, the rail element did not penetrate, nor show potential to penetrate the occupant compartment, nor to present undue hazard to others in the area. (PASS)
No occupant compartment deformation occurred. (PASS)

- F. The vehicle should remain upright during and after collision. The maximum roll and pitch angles are not to exceed 75 degrees.*

Results: The 1100C vehicle remained upright during and after the collision event. Maximum roll and pitch angles were 7 degrees and 5 degrees, respectively. (PASS)

- I. Occupant impact velocities should satisfy the following:*

Longitudinal and Lateral Occupant Impact Velocity

Preferred
30 ft/s

Maximum
40 ft/s

Results: Longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 17.4 ft/s, and lateral occupant impact velocity was 16.1 ft/s. (PASS)

- I. *Occupant ridedown accelerations should satisfy the following:*
Longitudinal and Lateral Occupant Ridedown Accelerations

<u><i>Preferred</i></u>	<u><i>Maximum</i></u>
<i>15.0 Gs</i>	<i>20.49 Gs</i>

Results: Longitudinal ridedown acceleration was 7.3 G, and lateral ridedown acceleration was 6.8 G. (PASS)

6.8.3 Vehicle Trajectory

For redirective devices, the vehicle shall exit the barrier within the exit box (not less than 32.8 ft).

Result: The 1100C vehicle crossed the exit box at the end of the guardrail. (PASS)

7. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

7.1 SUMMARY OF RESULTS

7.1.1 MASH Test 3-11 (Test No. 405160-20-1)

The guardrail on slope contained and redirected the 2270P vehicle. The vehicle did not penetrate, underide, or override the installation. Maximum dynamic deflection during the test was 4.3 ft. The rail element separated from some of the posts and one blockout separated from a post. However, these elements did not penetrate, nor show potential to penetrate the occupant compartment, nor to present undue hazard to others in the area. No occupant compartment deformation occurred. The 2270P vehicle remained upright during and after the collision event. Maximum roll and pitch angles were 13 degrees and 3 degrees, respectively. Occupant risk factors were below the preferred limits specified in *MASH*. The 2270P vehicle exited within the exit box.

7.1.2 MASH Test 3-10 (Test No. 405160-20-2)

The guardrail on slope contained and redirected the 1100C vehicle. The vehicle did not penetrate, underide, or override the installation. Maximum dynamic deflection during the test was 2.7 ft. The rail element separated from some of the posts. However, the rail element did not penetrate, nor show potential to penetrate the occupant compartment, nor to present undue hazard to others in the area. No occupant compartment deformation occurred. The 1100C vehicle remained upright during and after the collision event. Maximum roll and pitch angles were 7 degrees and 5 degrees, respectively. Occupant risk factors were below the preferred limits specified in *MASH*. The 1100C vehicle crossed the exit box at the end of the guardrail.

7.2 CONCLUSIONS

The guardrail on slope performed acceptably for *MASH* TL-3, as shown in tables 7.1 and 7.2.

Table 7.1. Performance evaluation summary for MASH test 3-11 on the guardrail on slope.

Test Agency: Texas A&M Transportation Institute

Test No.: 405160-20-1

Test Date: 2012-01-18

MASH Test 3-11 Evaluation Criteria		Test Results	Assessment
<u>Structural Adequacy</u>			
A.	<i>Test article should contain and redirect the vehicle or bring the vehicle to a controlled stop; the vehicle should not penetrate, underride, or override the installation although controlled lateral deflection of the test article is acceptable</i>	The guardrail on slope contained and redirected the 2270P vehicle. The vehicle did not penetrate, underride, or override the installation. Maximum dynamic deflection during the test was 4.3 ft.	Pass
<u>Occupant Risk</u>			
D.	<i>Detached elements, fragments, or other debris from the test article should not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or present an undue hazard to other traffic, pedestrians, or personnel in a work zone.</i>	The rail element separated from some of the posts and one blockout separated from a post. However, these elements did not penetrate, nor show potential to penetrate the occupant compartment, nor to present undue hazard to others in the area.	Pass
	<i>Deformations of, or intrusions into, the occupant compartment should not exceed limits set forth in Section 5.3 and Appendix E of MASH.</i>	No occupant compartment deformation occurred.	Pass
F.	<i>The vehicle should remain upright during and after collision. The maximum roll and pitch angles are not to exceed 75 degrees.</i>	The 2270P vehicle remained upright during and after the collision event. Maximum roll and pitch angles were 13 degrees and 3 degrees, respectively.	Pass
H.	<i>Longitudinal and lateral occupant impact velocities should fall below the preferred value of 9.1 m/s (30 ft/s), or at least below the maximum allowable value of 12.2 m/s (40 ft/s).</i>	Longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 15.1 ft/s, and lateral occupant impact velocity was 15.4 ft/s.	Pass
I.	<i>Longitudinal and lateral occupant ridedown accelerations should fall below the preferred value of 15.0 Gs, or at least below the maximum allowable value of 20.49 Gs.</i>	Longitudinal ridedown acceleration was 9.0 G, and lateral ridedown acceleration was 6.9 G.	Pass
<u>Vehicle Trajectory</u>			
	<i>For redirective devices, the vehicle shall exit the barrier within the exit box (not less than 32.8 ft).</i>	The 2270P vehicle exited within the exit box	Pass

Table 7.2. Performance evaluation summary for MASH test 3-10 on the guardrail on slope.

Test Agency: Texas A&M Transportation Institute

Test No.: 405160-20-2


Test Date: 2012-04-20

MASH Test 3-10 Evaluation Criteria		Test Results	Assessment
<u>Structural Adequacy</u>			
A.	<i>Test article should contain and redirect the vehicle or bring the vehicle to a controlled stop; the vehicle should not penetrate, underride, or override the installation although controlled lateral deflection of the test article is acceptable</i>	The guardrail on slope contained and redirected the 1100C vehicle. The vehicle did not penetrate, underride, or override the installation. Maximum dynamic deflection during the test was 4.3 ft.	Pass
<u>Occupant Risk</u>			
D.	<i>Detached elements, fragments, or other debris from the test article should not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or present an undue hazard to other traffic, pedestrians, or personnel in a work zone.</i>	The rail element separated from some of the posts. However, the rail element did not penetrate, nor show potential to penetrate the occupant compartment, nor to present undue hazard to others in the area.	Pass
	<i>Deformations of, or intrusions into, the occupant compartment should not exceed limits set forth in Section 5.3 and Appendix E of MASH.</i>	No occupant compartment deformation occurred.	Pass
F.	<i>The vehicle should remain upright during and after collision. The maximum roll and pitch angles are not to exceed 75 degrees.</i>	The 1100C vehicle remained upright during and after the collision event. Maximum roll and pitch angles were 7 degrees and 5 degrees, respectively.	Pass
H.	<i>Longitudinal and lateral occupant impact velocities should fall below the preferred value of 9.1 m/s (30 ft/s), or at least below the maximum allowable value of 12.2 m/s (40 ft/s).</i>	Longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 17.4 ft/s, and lateral occupant impact velocity was 16.1 ft/s.	Pass
I.	<i>Longitudinal and lateral occupant ridedown accelerations should fall below the preferred value of 15.0 Gs, or at least below the maximum allowable value of 20.49 Gs.</i>	Longitudinal ridedown acceleration was 7.3 G, and lateral ridedown acceleration was 6.8 G.	Pass
<u>Vehicle Trajectory</u>			
	<i>For redirective devices, the vehicle shall exit the barrier within the exit box (not less than 32.8 ft).</i>	The 1100C vehicle crossed the exit box at the end of the guardrail.	Pass


REFERENCES

1. Design Manual M 22-01, Chapter 710 “Traffic Barriers”, Washington State Department of Transportation, January 2005.
2. D. Stout, J. Hinch, and T-L. Yang. *Force-Deflection Characteristics of Guardrail Post*, Report FHWA-88-193, Federal Highway Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation, 1988.
3. J. D. Michie, “Recommended Procedures for the Safety Performance Evaluation of Highway Features,” *NCHRP Report 230*, Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, Washington, D.C., March 1981.
4. K. A. Polivka, R. K. Faller, D. L. Sicking, J. R. Rohde, J. C. Holloway, and E. A. Keller, *Development of a W-Beam Guardrail System for Use on a 2:1 Slope*, Final Report to the Midwest States’ Regional Pooled Fund Program, Transportation Research Report No. TRP-03-99-00, Project No. SPR-3(017)-Years 9 & 10, Midwest Roadside Safety Facility, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, October 16, 2000.
5. H. E. Ross, Jr., D. L. Sicking, R. A. Zimmer, and J. D. Michie, “Recommended Procedures for the Safety Performance Evaluation of Highway Features,” *National Cooperative Highway Research Program Report 350*, Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, Washington, D.C., 1993.
6. Karla A. Polivka, Dean L. Sicking, Ronald K. Faller, and Robert W. Bielenberg, *Midwest Guardrail System Adjacent to a 2:1 Slope*, Midwest Roadside Safety Facility: Lincoln, Nebraska, 2008.
7. AASHTO, *Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware*, American Association of State Highways and Transportation Officials, Washington, D.C., 2009.
8. A. Y. Abu-Odeh, R. P. Bligh, D. L. Bullard, Jr., and W. L. Menges. *Crash Testing and Evaluation of the Modified G4(1S) W-Beam Guardrail on 2:1 Slope*, Test Report No. 405160-4-1 Texas Transportation Institute, November 2008.


APPENDIX A. DETAILS OF TEST ARTICLE



ELEVATION VIEW



PLAN VIEW




DETAIL A
SCALE 1 : 50

*ALL POST SPACES - 75"
POSTS 1 AND 30 ARE CRP POSTS. POSTS 2 - 6 AND 25 - 29 ARE SYTP's,
7-9 AND 22 - 24 ARE 72" W6x8.5, AND POSTS 10 - 21 ARE 96" W6x8.5.


Texas Transportation Institute		The Texas A&M University System College Station, Texas 77843	
Project	405160-20	Guardrail TxDOT	
Drawn By	IL/GS	Scale 1:500	Sheet 1 of 8 Full Rail
Approved:	Signature:		Date:
Akram Abu-Odeh:			2011-10-18

T:\2011-2012\405160-Pooled-Fund\20 Guardrail on Slope\Drafting\2011-10-18\405160-20 Drawing






Texas Transportation Institute		The Texas A&M University System College Station, Texas 77843	
Project	405160-20	Guardrail TxDOT	
Drawn By	IL/GS	Scale 1:500	Sheet 2 of 8 Impact Points

T:\2011-2012\405160-Pooled-Fund\20 Guardrail on Slope\Drafting\2011-10-18\405160-20 Drawing




T:\2011-2012\405160-Pooled-Fund\20 Guardrail on Slope\Drafting\2011-10-18\405160-20 Drawing




*POST 2 - 6: 31" SYTP POST
 *POST 7 - 9: SLP POST

Texas Transportation Institute		The Texas A&M University System College Station, Texas 77843	
Project	405160-20	Guardrail TxDOT	
Drawn By	IL/GS	Scale	1:500
Sheet	4 of 8	End Terminal	Left Side

T:\2011-2012\405160-Pooled-Fund\20 Guardrail on Slope\Drafting\2011-10-18\405160-20 Drawing




T:\2011-2012\405160-Pooled-Fund\20 Guardrail on Slope\Drafting\2011-10-18\405160-20 Drawing




5. 4 Space 12-ga. W-beam
RWM02

Texas Transportation Institute		The Texas A&M University System College Station, Texas 77843	
Project	405160-20	Guardrail TxDOT	
Drawn By	IL/GS	Scale	1:20
Sheet	6 of 8	Guardrail	


T:\2011-2012\405160-Pooled-Fund\20 Guardrail on Slope\Drafting\2011-10-18\405160-20 Drawing



26. W6 x 8.5
96"
SEE 7a




4. 8" Wood Blockout
ARTBA # PDB01b




7. Standard Post
W6 x 8.5
ARTBA # PWE01


7a. This post is otherwise identical to Standard W6x8.5 post.



2. Button-head
Splice Bolt
ARTBA # FBB03



1. Recessed
Guardrail Nut
ARTBA # FBB01



6. 10" Guardrail
Bolt
ARTBA # FBB03

Bolts and Nut
Scale 1:2.5

Texas Transportation Institute		The Texas A&M University System College Station, Texas 77843	
Project	405160-20	Guardrail TxDOT	
Drawn By	IL/GS	Scale	1:12
Sheet		7 of 8	Posts, etc.

T:\2011-2012\405160-Pooled-Fund\20 Guardrail on Slope\Drafting\2011-10-18\405160-20 Drawing

#	PART NAME	QTY.
1	Nut, 5/8 Guardrail	134
2	Bolt, Button-head 1 1/4"	114
3	Post, W6x8.5 SYTP for 31" Rail	10
4	Blockout, Wood W-beam Routed ARTBA #PDB01b	26
5	W-Beam, 4- space 12 gauge RWM02	11
6	Bolt, Button-head 10 inch ARTBA #FBB03	26
7	Post, 72" W6 x 8.5 SLP	6
8	5/16" nut	4
9	5/16" flat washer	8
10	Bolt, 5/16" -18 x 1-1/2" hex	4
11	ET plus head	2
12	Washer, 1" flat	4
13	Anchor Bracket, ET Cable	2
14	W-beam, ET	2
15	3/4" Anchor Cable	2
16	Post, CRP Bottom	2
17	CRP top, 31"	2


#	PART NAME	QTY.
18	5/16" flat washer	8
19	Bolt, 5/16"-18x2 Hex	4
20	CRP bent plate washer	2
21	Strut, CRP	2
22	Washer, 5/8 flat	6
23	Bolt, 5/8"-11x2" Hex	6
26	Post, 96" W6 x 8.5 SLP	12
28	W-Beam, 9'-4.5" long	2
29	Nut, 1.0 -8 hex	4

Texas Transportation Institute		The Texas A&M University System College Station, Texas 77843	
Project	405160-20	Guardrail TxDOT	
Drawn By	IL/GS	Scale	1:500
Sheet	8 of 8	Parts List	

T:\2011-2012\405160-Pooled-Fund\20 Guardrail on Slope\Drafting\2011-10-18\405160-20 Drawing


APPENDIX B. SUPPORTING CERTIFICATION DOCUMENTS

MATERIAL USED				
TEST NUMBER	405160-20-1			
TEST NAME	Guardrail on Slope			
DATE	2012-01-18			
DATE RECEIVED	ITEM NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	SUPPLIER	HEAT #
2011-11-18	Parts-14	Guardrail Parts	Trinity	none




Date	2008-11-05
Test Facility and Site Location	TTI Proving Ground, 3100 SH 47, Bryan, TX 77807
In Situ Soil Description (ASTM D2487)	Sandy gravel with silty fines
Fill Material Description (ASTM D2487) and sieve analysis	AASHTO Grade B Soil-Aggregate (see sieve analysis above)
Description of Fill Placement Procedure	6-inch lifts tamped with a pneumatic compactor
Bogie Weight	5009 lb
Impact Velocity	20.5 mph

Figure C1. Summary of strong soil test results for establishing installation procedure.



Date.....	<u>2012-01-18</u>
Test Facility and Site Location	<u>TTI Proving Ground – 3100 SH 47, Bryan, Tx</u>
In Situ Soil Description (ASTM D2487)	<u>Sandy gravel with silty fines</u>
Fill Material Description (ASTM D2487) and sieve analysis	<u>AASHTO Grade B Soil-Aggregate (see sieve analysis)</u>
Description of Fill Placement Procedure	<u>6-inch lifts tamped with a pneumatic compactor</u>

Figure C2. Test day static soil strength documentation for test 405160-20-1.



Date.....	<u>2012-04-25</u>
Test Facility and Site Location	<u>TTI Proving Ground – 3100 SH 47, Bryan, Tx</u>
In Situ Soil Description (ASTM D2487)	<u>Sandy gravel with silty fines</u>
Fill Material Description (ASTM D2487) and sieve analysis	<u>AASHTO Grade B Soil-Aggregate (see sieve analysis)</u>
Description of Fill Placement Procedure	<u>6-inch lifts tamped with a pneumatic compactor</u>

Figure C3. Test day static soil strength documentation for test 405160-20-2.

APPENDIX D. CRASH TEST NO. 405160-20-1

D1. VEHICLE PROPERTIES AND INFORMATION

Table D1. Vehicle properties for test 405160-20-1.

Date: 2012-01-18 Test No.: 405160-20-1 VIN No.: 1D7HA18N865659307
 Year: 2006 Make: Dodge Model: Ram 1500
 Tire Size: P265/70R17 Tire Inflation Pressure: 35 psi
 Tread Type: Highway Odometer: 150328

Note any damage to the vehicle prior to test: _____

- Denotes accelerometer location.

NOTES: _____

Engine Type: V-8
 Engine CID: 4.7 liter

Transmission Type:
x Auto or _____ Manual
 _____ FWD _____ RWD _____ 4WD

Optional Equipment: _____

Dummy Data:
 Type: No dummy
 Mass: _____
 Seat Position: _____

Geometry: inches

A	<u>78.25</u>	F	<u>36.00</u>	K	<u>20.50</u>	P	<u>2.88</u>	U	<u>30.00</u>
B	<u>75.00</u>	G	<u>28.62</u>	L	<u>29.12</u>	Q	<u>31.25</u>	V	<u>31.50</u>
C	<u>223.75</u>	H	<u>63.12</u>	M	<u>68.50</u>	R	<u>18.38</u>	W	<u>63.00</u>
D	<u>47.25</u>	I	<u>13.75</u>	N	<u>68.00</u>	S	<u>12.00</u>	X	<u>98.00</u>
E	<u>140.5</u>	J	<u>25.38</u>	O	<u>44.50</u>	T	<u>72.50</u>		
Wheel Center Height Front		<u>14.75</u>	Wheel Well Clearance (Front)		<u>5.00</u>	Bottom Frame Height - Front		<u>17.125</u>	
Wheel Center Height Rear		<u>14.75</u>	Wheel Well Clearance (Rear)		<u>10.25</u>	Bottom Frame Height - Rear		<u>24.75</u>	

RANGE LIMIT: A=78 ±2 inches; C=237 ±13 inches; E=148 ±12 inches; F=39 ±3 inches; G = > 28 inches; H = 63 ±4 inches; O=43 ±4 inches; M+N/2=67 ±1.5 inches

GVWR Ratings:

Front 3700
 Back 3900
 Total 6700

Mass: lb

M_{front}
 M_{rear}
 M_{Total}

Curb

2868
2109
4977

Test Inertial

2778
2266
5044

Gross Static

(Allowable Range for TIM and GSM = 5000 lb ±110 lb)

Mass Distribution:

lb LF: 1408 RF: 1370 LR: 1103 RR: 1163




Table D2. Measurements of vehicle vertical CG for test 405160-20-1.

Date: 2012-01-18 Test No.: 405160-20-1 VIN: 1D7HA18N865659307
 Year: 2006 Make: Dodge Model: Ram 1500
 Body Style: Quad Cab Mileage: 150328
 Engine: 4.7 liter V-8 Transmission: Automatic
 Fuel Level: Empty Ballast: 247 lb in front of bed (440 lb max)
 Tire Pressure: Front: 35 psi Rear: 35 psi Size: 265/70R17

Measured Vehicle Weights: (lb)									
LF:	<u>1380</u>	RF:	<u>1405</u>	Front Axle:	<u>2785</u>				
LR:	<u>1135</u>	RR:	<u>1122</u>	Rear Axle:	<u>2257</u>				
Left:	<u>2515</u>	Right:	<u>2527</u>	Total:	<u>5042</u>				
					5000 ±110 lb allowed				
Wheel Base:	<u>140.5</u> inches	Track: F:	<u>38.5</u> inches	R:	<u>68</u> inches				
	148 ±12 inches allowed			Track = (F+R)/2 =	67 ±1.5 inches allowed				
Center of Gravity, SAE J874 Suspension Method									
X:	<u>62.89</u> in	Rear of Front Axle	(63 ±4 inches allowed)						
Y:	<u>0.05</u> in	Left - Right +	of Vehicle Centerline						
Z:	<u>28.625</u> in	Above Ground	(minumum 28.0 inches allowed)						

Hood Height: 44.50 inches Front Bumper Height: 28.375 inches
 43 ±4 inches allowed

Front Overhang: 36.00 inches Rear Bumper Height: 29.125 inches
 39 ±3 inches allowed

Overall Length: 223.75 inches
 237 ±13 inches allowed

Table D3. Exterior crush measurements for test 405160-20-1.

Date:	<u>2012-01-18</u>	Test No.:	<u>405160-20-1</u>	VIN No.:	<u>1D7HA18N865659307</u>
Year:	<u>2006</u>	Make:	<u>Dodge</u>	Model:	<u>Ram 1500</u>

VEHICLE CRUSH MEASUREMENT SHEET¹

Complete When Applicable	
End Damage	Side Damage
Undeformed end width _____	Bowing: B1 _____ X1 _____
Corner shift: A1 _____	B2 _____ X2 _____
A2 _____	
End shift at frame (CDC)	Bowing constant
(check one)	$\frac{X1 + X2}{2} = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$
< 4 inches _____	
≥ 4 inches _____	

Note: Measure C₁ to C₆ from Driver to Passenger side in Front or Rear impacts – Rear to Front in Side Impacts.

[illegible]

Table taken from National Accident Sampling System (NASS).

*Identify the plane at which the C-measurements are taken (e.g., at bumper, above bumper, at sill, above sill, at beltline, etc.) or label adjustments (e.g., free space).

Free space value is defined as the distance between the baseline and the original body contour taken at the individual C locations. This may include the following: bumper lead, bumper taper, side protrusion, side taper, etc. Record the value for each C-measurement and maximum crush.

****Measure and document on the vehicle diagram the beginning or end of the direct damage width and field L (e.g., side damage with respect to undamaged axle).**




***Measure and document on the vehicle diagram the location of the maximum crush.

Note: Use as many lines/columns as necessary to describe each damage profile.

Table D4. Occupant compartment measurements for test 405160-20-1.

Date: 2012-01-18 Test No.: 405160-20-1 VIN No.: 1D7HA18N865659307
 Year: 2006 Make: Dodge Model: Ram 1500


**OCCUPANT COMPARTMENT
DEFORMATION MEASUREMENT**



	Before (inches)	After (inches)
A1	64.75	64.75
A2	64.50	64.50
A3	65.00	65.00
B1	45.25	45.25
B2	37.00	37.00
B3	45.25	45.25
B4	42.25	42.25
B5	42.75	42.75
B6	42.25	42.25
C1	29.00	29.00
C2	-----	-----
C3	27.00	27.00
D1	12.75	12.75
D2	2.00	2.00
D3	11.50	11.50
E1	62.75	62.75
E2	64.50	64.50
E3	64.00	64.00
E4	64.12	64.12
F	60.00	60.00
G	60.00	60.00
H	39.00	39.00
I	39.00	39.00
J*	62.00	62.00

*Lateral area across the cab from driver's side kickpanel to passenger's side kickpanel.

D2. SEQUENTIAL PHOTOGRAPHS



0.000 s



0.078 s



0.156 s



0.234 s



Figure D1. Sequential photographs for test 405160-20-1 (overhead and frontal views).



0.312 s



0.390 s



0.468 s



0.546 s



Figure D1. Sequential photographs for test 405160-20-1 (overhead and frontal views) (continued).



0.000 s



0.312 s



0.078 s



0.390 s



0.156 s



0.468 s




0.234 s



0.546 s

Figure D2. Sequential photographs for test 405160-20-1 (rear view).

Roll, Pitch, and Yaw Angles



— Roll — Pitch — Yaw

Axes are vehicle-fixed.
Sequence for determining
orientation:

1. Yaw.
2. Pitch.
3. Roll.




Figure D3. Vehicle angular displacements for test 405160-20-1.




Figure D4. Vehicle longitudinal accelerometer trace for test 405160-20-1
 (accelerometer located at center of gravity).

Y Acceleration at CG




Figure D5. Vehicle lateral accelerometer trace for test 405160-20-1
(accelerometer located at center of gravity).

Z Acceleration at CG




Figure D6. Vehicle vertical accelerometer trace for test 405160-20-1
 (accelerometer located at center of gravity).




Figure D7. Vehicle longitudinal accelerometer trace for test 405160-20-1 (accelerometer located rear of center of gravity).

Y Acceleration at rear of CG




Figure D8. Vehicle lateral accelerometer trace for test 405160-20-1
(accelerometer located rear of center of gravity).

Z Acceleration at rear of CG




Figure D9. Vehicle vertical accelerometer trace for test 405160-20-1
(accelerometer located rear of center of gravity).

APPENDIX E. CRASH TEST NO. 405160-20-2

E1. VEHICLE PROPERTIES AND INFORMATION

Table E1. Vehicle properties for test 405160-20-2.

Date: 2012-04-20 Test No.: 405160-20-2 VIN No.: KNADE123566032879

Year: 2006 Make: Kia Model: Rio

Tire Inflation Pressure: 32 psi Odometer: 112442 Tire Size: P185/65R14

Describe any damage to the vehicle prior to test:

- Denotes accelerometer location.

NOTES:

Engine Type: 4 cylinder

Engine CID:	1.6 liter
-------------	-----------

Transmission Type: _____

x Auto or Manual

x FWD RWD 4WD


Optional Equipment: _____

Dummy Data:

Type: 50th percentile male

Mass: 164 lb

Seat Position: Driver



Geometry: inches

A	<u>66.38</u>	F	<u>33.00</u>	K	<u>11.00</u>	P	<u>4.12</u>	U	<u>15.75</u>
B	<u>57.75</u>	G	<u> </u>	L	<u>24.12</u>	Q	<u>22.18</u>	V	<u>21.50</u>
C	<u>165.75</u>	H	<u>34.27</u>	M	<u>57.75</u>	R	<u>15.38</u>	W	<u>39.50</u>
D	<u>34.00</u>	I	<u>7.12</u>	N	<u>57.12</u>	S	<u>7.62</u>	X	<u>108.50</u>
E	<u>98.75</u>	J	<u>21.00</u>	O	<u>30.62</u>	T	<u>66.12</u>		

Wheel Center Ht Front	11.00	Wheel Center Ht Rear	11.00
-----------------------	-------	----------------------	-------

RANGE LIMIT: A = 65 ±3 inches; C = 168 ±8 inches; E = 98 ±5 inches; F = 35 ±4 inches; G = 39 ±4 inches;

O = 24 ±4 inches: M+N/2 = 56 ±2 inches

GVWR Ratings:		Mass: lb	<u>Curb</u>	<u>Test Inertial</u>	<u>Gross Static</u>
Front	<u>1918</u>	M _{front}	<u>1596</u>	<u>1586</u>	<u>1672</u>
Back	<u>1874</u>	M _{rear}	<u>861</u>	<u>843</u>	<u>921</u>
Total	<u>3638</u>	M _{Total}	<u>2457</u>	<u>2429</u>	<u>2593</u>

Allowable TIM = 2420 lb $\pm$ 55 lb | Allowable GSM = 2585 lb $\pm$ 55 lb

Mass Distribution:

lb LF: 813 RF: 773 LR: 423 RR: 420

Table E2. Exterior crush measurements for test 405160-20-2.

Date: 2012-04-20 Test No.: 405160-20-2 VIN No.: KNADE123566032879
 Year: 2006 Make: Kia Model: Rio

VEHICLE CRUSH MEASUREMENT SHEET¹

Complete When Applicable	
End Damage	Side Damage
Undeformed end width _____ Corner shift: A1 _____ A2 _____ End shift at frame (CDC) (check one) < 4 inches _____ ≥ 4 inches _____	Bowing: B1 _____ X1 _____ B2 _____ X2 _____ Bowing constant $\frac{X1 + X2}{2} = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$

Note: Measure C₁ to C₆ from Driver to Passenger side in Front or Rear impacts – Rear to Front in Side Impacts.

Specific Impact Number	Plane* of C-Measurements	Direct Damage		Field L**	C ₁	C ₂	C ₃	C ₄	C ₅	C ₆	±D
		Width** (CDC)	Max*** Crush								
1	Front plane at bumper ht	14.0	9.0	34.0	9.0	5.0	3.0	2.5	1.0	0	-12.0
2	Side plane above bumper	14.0	10.5	36.0	0	4.0	7.0	7.5	8.5	10.5	+44.0
	Measurements recorded										
	in inches										

¹Table taken from National Accident Sampling System (NASS).

*Identify the plane at which the C-measurements are taken (e.g., at bumper, above bumper, at sill, above sill, at beltline, etc.) or label adjustments (e.g., free space).

Free space value is defined as the distance between the baseline and the original body contour taken at the individual C locations. This may include the following: bumper lead, bumper taper, side protrusion, side taper, etc. Record the value for each C-measurement and maximum crush.

**Measure and document on the vehicle diagram the beginning or end of the direct damage width and field L (e.g., side damage with respect to undamaged axle).




***Measure and document on the vehicle diagram the location of the maximum crush.

Note: Use as many lines/columns as necessary to describe each damage profile.

Table E3. Occupant compartment measurements for test 405160-20-2.

Date: 2012-04-20 Test No.: 405160-20-2 VIN No.: KNADE123566032879

Year: 2006 Make: Kia Model: Rio



OCCUPANT COMPARTMENT DEFORMATION MEASUREMENT

	Before (inches)	After (inches)
A1	67.50	67.50
A2	67.50	67.50
A3	67.50	67.50
B1	40.75	40.75
B2	36.75	36.75
B3	40.75	40.75
B4	36.25	36.25
B5	35.75	35.75
B6	36.25	36.25
C1	26.00	26.00
C2	----	----
C3	27.50	27.50
D1	9.75	9.75
D2	----	----
D3	9.50	9.50
E1	48.00	48.00
E2	51.00	51.00
F	51.00	51.00
G	51.00	51.00
H	37.00	37.00
I	37.00	37.00
J*	50.75	50.75

*Lateral area across the cab from driver's side kickpanel to passenger's side kickpanel.


E2. SEQUENTIAL PHOTOGRAPHS




0.000 s



0.078 s



0.156 s




0.234 s



Figure E1. Sequential photographs for test 405160-20-2
(overhead and frontal views).



0.312 s



0.390 s



0.468 s



0.546 s



Figure E1. Sequential photographs for test 405160-20-2 (overhead and frontal views) (continued).



0.000 s



0.312 s



0.078 s



0.390 s



0.156 s



0.468 s



0.234 s



0.546 s

Figure E2. Sequential photographs for test 405160-20-2
(rear view).




Figure E3. Vehicle angular displacements for test 405160-20-2.


X Acceleration at CG

Figure E4. Vehicle longitudinal accelerometer trace for test 405160-20-2
 (accelerometer located at center of gravity).

Y Acceleration at CG




Figure E5. Vehicle lateral accelerometer trace for test 405160-20-2
(accelerometer located at center of gravity).




Figure E6. Vehicle vertical accelerometer trace for test 405160-20-2
(accelerometer located at center of gravity).

X Acceleration Rear of CG




Figure E7. Vehicle longitudinal accelerometer trace for test 405160-20-2
(accelerometer located rear of center of gravity).

Y Acceleration Rear of CG




Figure E8. Vehicle lateral accelerometer trace for test 405160-20-2
(accelerometer located rear of center of gravity).

Z Acceleration Rear of CG




Figure E9. Vehicle vertical accelerometer trace for test 405160-20-2
(accelerometer located rear of center of gravity).