

1200 New Jersey Ave., SE Washington, D.C. 20590

February 14, 2017

In Reply Refer To: HSST-1/B-276

Mr. Jesper Sorensen Blue System AB Fiskebäcks Hamn 16, S-426 58 Västra Frölunda, Sweden

Dear Mr. Sorensen:

This letter is in response to your December 15, 2016 request for the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) to review a roadside safety device, hardware, or system for eligibility for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program. This FHWA letter of eligibility is assigned FHWA control number B-276 and is valid until a subsequent letter is issued by FHWA that expressly references this device.

Decision

The following devices are eligible, with details provided in the form which is attached as an integral part of this letter:

• Safence 3RSC-16 Blue System 3 Cable Driven Post System

Scope of this Letter

To be found eligible for Federal-aid funding, new roadside safety devices should meet the crash test and evaluation criteria contained in the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials'(AASHTO) Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware (MASH). However, the FHWA, the Department of Transportation, and the United States Government do not regulate the manufacture of roadside safety devices. Eligibility for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program does not establish approval, certification or endorsement of the device for any particular purpose or use.

This letter is not a determination by the FHWA, the Department of Transportation, or the United States Government that a vehicle crash involving the device will result in any particular outcome, nor is it a guarantee of the in-service performance of this device. Proper manufacturing, installation, and maintenance are required in order for this device to function as tested.

This finding of eligibility is limited to the crashworthiness of the system and does not cover other structural features, nor conformity with the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices.

Eligibility for Reimbursement

Based solely on a review of crash test results and certifications submitted by the manufacturer, and the crash test laboratory, FHWA agrees that the device described herein meets the crash test and evaluation criteria of the AASHTO Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware (MASH). Therefore, the device is eligible for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program if installed under the range of tested conditions as Length of Need (LON) barrier.

Name of system: Safence 3RSC-16 Blue System 3 Cable Driven Post System Type of system: Longitudinal Barrier Test Level: MASH09 Test Level 3 (TL3) Testing conducted by: Holmes Solutions Date of request: December 15, 2016 Date initially acknowledged: December 28, 2016 Date of completed package: February 1, 2017

FHWA concurs with the recommendation of the accredited crash testing laboratory as stated within the attached form.

Full Description of the Eligible Device

The device and supporting documentation, including reports of the crash tests or other testing done, videos of any crash testing, and/or drawings of the device, are described in the attached form.

Notice

If a manufacturer makes any modification to any of their roadside safety hardware that has an existing eligibility letter from FHWA, the manufacturer must notify FHWA of such modification with a request for continued eligibility for reimbursement. The notice of all modifications to a device must be accompanied by:

- Significant modifications For these modifications, crash test results must be submitted with accompanying documentation and videos.
- Non-signification modifications For these modifications, a statement from the crash test laboratory on the potential effect of the modification on the ability of the device to meet the relevant crash test criteria.

FHWA's determination of continued eligibility for the modified hardware will be based on whether the modified hardware will continue to meet the relevant crash test criteria.

Any user or agency relying on this eligibility letter is expected to use the same designs, specifications, drawings, installation and maintenance instructions as those submitted for review.

You are expected to certify to potential users that the hardware furnished has the same chemistry, mechanical properties, and geometry as that submitted for review, and that it will meet the test and evaluation criteria of the AASHTO MASH.

Issuance of this letter does not convey property rights of any sort or any exclusive privilege. This letter is based on the premise that information and reports submitted by you are accurate and correct. We reserve the right to modify or revoke this letter if: (1) there are any inaccuracies in the information submitted in support of your request for this letter, (2) the qualification testing was flawed, (3) in-service performance or other information reveals safety problems, (4) the system is significantly different from the version that was crash tested, or (5) any other information indicates that the letter was issued in error or otherwise does not reflect full and complete information about the crashworthiness of the system.

Standard Provisions

- To prevent misunderstanding by others, this letter of eligibility designated as FHWA control number B-276 shall not be reproduced except in full. This letter and the test documentation upon which it is based are public information. All such letters and documentation may be reviewed upon request.
- This letter shall not be construed as authorization or consent by the FHWA to use, manufacture, or sell any patented system for which the applicant is not the patent holder.
- If the subject device is a patented product it may be considered to be proprietary. If proprietary systems are specified by a highway agency for use on Federal-aid projects: (a) they must be supplied through competitive bidding with equally suitable unpatented items; (b) the highway agency must certify that they are essential for synchronization with the existing highway facilities or that no equally suitable alternative exists; or (c) they must be used for research or for a distinctive type of construction on relatively short sections of road for experimental purposes. Our regulations concerning proprietary products are contained in Title 23, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 635.411.

Sincerely,

Soti Ti Jh

Scott T. Johnson Acting Director, Office of Safety Technologies Office of Safety

Enclosures

1-1-1

Request for Federal Aid Reimbursement Eligibility of Highway Safety Hardware

	Date of Request:	December 15, 2016	CNe	w	Resubmission		
	Name:	Jesper Sorensen	esper Sorensen				
ter	Company:	Blue System AB					
Submitter	Address:	Fiskebäcks Hamn 16, S-426 58 Västra Frölunda					
Sut	Country:	Sweden					
	To:	Michael S. Griffith, Director FHWA, Office of Safety Technologies					

I request the following devices be considered eligible for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program.

Device & Testing	g Criterion - Enter from right to left starting with Test Lo	evel

System Type	Submission Type	Device Name / Variant	Testing Criterion	Test Level
'B': Rigid/Semi-Rigid Barriers (Roadside, Median, Bridge Railings)	C Engineering Applysis	Safence 3RSC-16 Blue System 3 Cable Driven Post System	AASHTO MASH	TL3

By submitting this request for review and evaluation by the Federal Highway Administration, I certify that the product(s) was (were) tested in conformity with the AASHTO Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware and that the evaluation results meet the appropriate evaluation criteria in the MASH.

Individual or Organization responsible for the product:

Contact Name:	Jesper Sorensen	Same as Submitter 🔀			
Company Name:	ompany Name: Blue System AB				
Address:	Fiskebäcks Hamn 16, S-426 58 Västra Frölunda	Same as Submitter 🔀			
Country:	Sweden	Same as Submitter 🔀			
Enter below all disclosures of financial interests as required by the FHWA `Federal-Aid Reimbursement Eligibility Process for Safety Hardware Devices' document.					

The test facility Holmes Solution or any its employees does not have any financial interest in Blue Systems AB or Safence, Inc.

Version 10.0 (05/16) Page 2 of 4

PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

6	New Hardware or	~!	Modification to
(•	New Hardware or Significant Modification	E	Existing Hardware

Safence 3RSC-16 Blue System 3 Cable Driven Post System:

The Safence driven post barrier consists of three, 19 mm 3x7 strand galvanized cables, supported on C-section steel post at 2m centers. The height to the top of the highest cable from ground level is specified as a nominal 900 mm (35.4"). The middle and lowest cables are 715 mm (28.1") and 530 mm (20.8") from the ground. Posts were driven into the AASHTO standard soil at 2m centers.

Along the length of the slope, all posts were placed 200 mm down the slope from the break point in a 2:1 slope. The cables were connected to the C posts section with 304 stainless steel rope hooks. The cables were terminated at a cable anchor bracket cast into an in-ground concrete foundation All cables were tensioned to a nominal 25.5 kN at an ambient temperature of 20 degrees Celsius. Tension was applied to the cable using a hydraulic tensioning unit. Cable termination and cable adjustment fittings are swaged fittings.

CRASH TESTING

By signature below, the Engineer affiliated with the testing laboratory, agrees in support of this submission that all of the critical and relevant crash tests for this device listed above were conducted to meet the MASH test criteria. The Engineer has determined that no other crash tests are necessary to determine the device meets the MASH criteria.

Engineer Name:	Emerson Ryder			
Engineer Signature:			ned by Emerson Ryder)1.26 09:22:06 +13'00'	
Address:	L2, 254 Montreal St., Christchurch		Same as Submitter 🗌	
Country:	New Zealand		Same as Submitter 🗌	

A brief description of each crash test and its result:

Required Test	Narrative	Evaluation
Number	Description	Results
3-10 (1100C)	The objective of the test reported herein was to evaluate the safety performance of the roadside 3 wire rope cable barrier system when installed on a 2:1 slope against the requirements of MASH 09 Test 3-10 in accordance with Test Level 3 (TL3). The Test 3-10 was performed using a 1100 kg car traveling at a nominal speed of 100 km/h impacting a test article at 25 degrees. The results indicate that the barrier satisfied the requirements of MASH for Test 3-10. The dynamic deflection was 1.41 meter and the working width 1.59 meter.	PASS

Version 10.0 (05/16) Page 3 of 4

		Tuge 5 of 1
Required Test Number	Narrative Description	Evaluation Results
3-11 (2270P)	The objective of the test reported herein was to evaluate the safety performance of the roadside 3 wire rope cable barrier system when installed on a 2:1 slope against the requirements of MASH 09 Test 3-11 in accordance with Test Level 3 (TL3). The Test 3-11 was performed using a 2270 kg truck traveling at a nominal speed of 100 km/h impacting a test article at 25 degrees. The results indicate that the barrier satisfied the requirements of MASH for Test 3-11. The dynamic deflection was 2.01 meter and the working width 2.19 meter.	PASS
3-20 (1100C)	Test 20 is a test for transitions sections. Therefore it was not conducted.	Non-Relevant Test, not conducted
3-21 (2270P)	Test 20 is a test for transitions sections. Therefore it was not conducted.	Non-Relevant Test, not conducted

Full Scale Crash Testing was done in compliance with MASH by the following accredited crash test laboratory (cite the laboratory's accreditation status as noted in the crash test reports.):

Laboratory Name:	Holmes Solutions		
		Digitally signed by Emerson Ryder Date: 2017.01.26 09:22:48 +13'00'	
Address:	Unit 5, 295 Blenheim Road, Christchurch		Same as Submitter 🗌
Country:	New Zealand		Same as Submitter 🗌
Accreditation Certificate Number and Dates of current	is office if or bible of office if an additional in the		
Accreditation period :	23 July 2009 to present day		

Submitter Signature*: Jesper Sorensen Digitally signed by Jesper Sorensen Date: 2017.02.01 09:47:47 - 08'00'

Submit Form

ATTACHMENTS

Attach to this form:

- 1) Additional disclosures of related financial interest as indicated above.
- 2) A copy of the full test report, video, and a Test Data Summary Sheet for each test conducted in support of this request.
- 3) A drawing or drawings of the device(s) that conform to the Task Force-13 Drawing Specifications [Hardware Guide Drawing Standards]. For proprietary products, a single isometric line drawing is usually acceptable to illustrate the product, with detailed specifications, intended use, and contact information provided on the reverse. Additional drawings (not in TF-13 format) showing details that are relevant to understanding the dimensions and performance of the device should also be submitted to facilitate our review.

FHWA Official Business Only:

Eligib	ility Letter		
Number	Date	Key Words	

Holmes Solutions

Level 2, 254 Montreal Street Christchurch Central 8013 PO Box 6718 Upper Riccarton, Christchurch 8442 holmessolutions.com

~			•	
0.00 sec	0.112 sec 0.225	sec	0.337 sec	0.450 sec
	4.55m	42 m CIP	8 m CIP	
Test Article:	Safence 3 cable driven post in 2:1 slope	Post Impact Vel	hicle Behaviour	
Total Length	176.4 m	Vehicle Stability	y	good
Key Elements - Barrier	Wire cable safety barrier installed at 2.0 m	Stopping Dista	nce	42.0 m from CIP
Description	4.2 m terminal ends sections	Vehicle Snaggir		None
Length of Barrier Installation	168.0 m LoN	Vehicle Pocketin		None
Cable Height	900 mm (35.4")	Occupant Impo		At 0.1882 seconds
Post Spacing	2.0 m nominal	Longitudinal	J	-2.8 m/s
Test Vehicle		Lateral (option	al)	3.7 m/s
Designation	1100C		-down Deceleration	
Make/Model	Nissan Tiida	X-direction		3.6 g
Dimensions (LxWxH)	4270 mm x 1695 mm x 1550 mm	Y-direction		-5.3 g
Curb Wt	1141.5 kg	THIV (optional)	m/s	4.8
Test Inertial Wt	1110.0 kg	PHD (optional)		5.3 g
Gross Static	1185.0 kg	ASI (optional)		0.46
Impact Conditions	Y	Test Article Dan	nage	
Speed	101.0 km /h	Test Article Defl		
Angle	25.0 degrees	Dynamic		1.41 m
Impact Point	870 mm Upstream of steel line post 19	Permanent		0.56 m
Exit Conditions		Working Width		1.59 m
Exit Speed:	36.0 km/h	Vehicle Damage		
Exit Angle:	9.0 degrees	VDS		11FL-2
5	5	CDC		11LFEE2
		Maximum Defo	rmation	150 mm

Holmes Australia Netherlands New Zealand USA



Test Date 114612.01SRP.1016 3-10.docx 05 October 16 Page 1 of 1

Holmes Solutions

Level 2, 254 Montreal Street Christchurch Central 8013 PO Box 6718 Upper Riccarton, Christchurch 8442 holmessolutions.com

		- in	8			· instant
0.00 sec	0.275 sec	0.550 s	ec	0.825 sec		1.10 sec
	EXIT BO	114m from 5.22m				
Test Article:	Safence 3 cable driven post i	n 2:1 slope	Post Impac	t Vehicle Behaviour		
Total Length	172.4 m		Vehicle Sto		Fair	
Key Elements - Barrier	Wire cable safety barrier ins	talled at 2.0 m	Stopping D	0	114 m fron	n CIP
Description	4.2 m terminal ends sections		Vehicle Sno		None	
Length of Barrier Installation	158.0 m LoN		Vehicle Poo		None	
Cable Height	900 mm (35.4")		Occupant	Impact Velocity	At 0.1866	seconds
Post Spacing	2.0 m nominal		Longitudin		-2.4 m/s	
Test Vehicle			Lateral (op		3.7 m/s	
Designation	2270P			Ride-down Deceleratio	on	
Make/Model	2006 Dodge Ram 1500 Quad	Cab	X-direction		3.4 g	
Dimensions (LxWxH)	5710 mm x 2030 mm x 1910 m	m	Y-direction		-5.1 g	
Curb Wt	2319 kg		THIV (optic	onal) m/s	4.7	
Test Inertial Wt	2274 kg		PHD (optic	onal)	5.3 g	
Gross Static	2274 kg		ASI (option	al)	0.44	
Impact Conditions			Test Article	Damage		
Speed	100.8 km /h		Test Article	Deflections		
Angle	25.7 degrees		Dynamic		2.01 m	
Impact Point	370 mm Upstream of steel lin	e post 20	Permanent	t	0.59 m	
Exit Conditions			Working W	/idth	2.19 m	
Exit Speed:	61.0 km/h		Vehicle Da	mage Exterior		
Exit Angle:	11.0 degrees		VDS	-	11FL-2	
-			CDC		11LFEE2	
			Maximum I	Deformation	110 mm	



Holmes Australia Netherlands New Zealand USA



19 February 16 Page 1 of 1



