

Texas A&M Transportation Institute 3135 TAMU College Station, TX 77843-3135

979-845-6375 Fax: 979-845-6107 http://tti.tamu.edu/crashtesting

TxDOT Thrie-Beam Transition to Concrete Barrier MASH TL-3 Study Pooled Fund-Engineering Support

LETTER REPORT

Prepared by:

Sana Moran, E.I.T. Roger Bligh, Ph.D., P.E.

April 2020

Overview

Texas A&M Transportation Institute (TTI) has completed an assessment of the TxDOT Thrie-Beam Transition to Concrete Barrier for AASHTO MASH (ref. 1) Test Level 3 (TL-3) compliance. TTI reviewed previous crash tests conducted on thrie beam transition systems to assist with the evaluation. The results of the study are summarized below.

The TxDOT Thrie-Beam Transition to Concrete Barrier is an 18'-9" long system that transitions from a 31-inch tall MGS W-beam guardrail system to a concrete barrier. The TxDOT Thrie-Beam Transition to Concrete Barrier has a height of 31 inches. Two nested 12'-6" long thrie beam sections are attached to the concrete barrier using a 10-gauge thrie beam terminal connector. The thrie beam rail is twisted onto the face of the concrete barrier when attaching to a sloped parapet such as an F-shape or single slope barrier. A 6-inch tall concrete curb is located below the nested thrie beam section. To transition the nested thrie beam section to the W-beam guardrail system, a 6'-3" long, 10-gauge non-symmetrical (asymmetric) W-beam to thrie beam transition section is used. Appendix A provides additional details of the TxDOT Thrie-Beam Transition to Concrete Barrier.

Evaluation Results

According to MASH, two tests are recommended to evaluate approach guardrail to bridge rail transitions to test level 3 (TL-3).

- MASH Test 3-20: A 2425-lb vehicle impacting the critical impact point (CIP) of the transition at a nominal impact speed and angle of 62 mi/h and 25 degrees, respectively.
- MASH Test 3-21: A 5000-lb pickup truck vehicle impacting the CIP of the transition at a nominal impact speed and angle of 62 mi/h and 25 degrees, respectively.

An approach guardrail to bridge rail transition system has two distinct stiffness transition regions: one on the upstream end that transitions from the approach MGS guardrail to the transition section, and the other on the downstream end of the transition system where it attaches to the rigid concrete parapet or bridge rail. To determine MASH TL-3 compliance of the TxDOT Thrie-Beam Transition to Concrete Barrier, both the downstream and upstream transition were evaluated. The evaluation results for each required test is presented below.

MASH Test 3-21 at Downstream Transition

MASH Test 3-21 has been successfully performed on the TxDOT Thrie-Beam Transition to Concrete Barrier at the downstream transition (ref. 2). In this test, the thrie beam transition rails and terminal connector were twisted and attached directly to the face of the single slope barrier. The bottom of the single slope barrier was tapered at the end. This represents a more critical connection than a configuration in which the end terminal is directly attached to a vertical parapet or if a tapered steel spacer is used to vertically attach the thrie beam to a sloped parapet surface. Based on the results of this test, the impact performance of the TxDOT Thrie-Beam Transition to Concrete Barrier is considered acceptable under MASH Test 3-21 requirements at the downstream transition.

MASH Test 3-20 at Downstream Transition

MASH Test 3-20 has not been performed on the TxDOT Thrie-Beam Transition to Concrete Barrier at the downstream transition. However, MASH Test 3-20 has been successfully performed at the downstream transition on several thrie beam transition systems developed by TTI and Midwest Roadside Safety Facility (MwRSF) (ref. 3,4,5,6). Table 1 shows a comparison between the downstream transition for the TxDOT Thrie-Beam Transition to Concrete Barrier and the transition systems tested by TTI and MwRSF.

Tuble 1. Downstream Transition Comparison.							
Transition System	Field/Back Edge of the Thrie Beam to the end of the Parapet Taper	Nested Thrie Beam?	Post Type	Post Spacing (in.)	Height of Transition (in.)	Curb in Transition?	
TxDOT Thrie-Beam Transition to Concrete Barrier (ref. 2)	Aligned	Yes	W6x8.5	18.75	31	Yes	
TxDOT T131RC Bridge Rail Transition (ref. 3)	9.5 inches towards the field side	Yes	W6x8.5	18.75	31	No	
AGT Critical Configuration Transition to Standardized Buttress (ref. 4)	4.5 inches towards the field side	Yes	W6x8.5	18.75	31	No	
2019 MASH 2-Tube Bridge Rail Thrie Beam Transition (ref. 5)	4.5 inches towards the field side	Yes	W6x8.5	18.75	34	No	
34-in Tall Thrie Beam Transition to Concrete Buttress (ref. 6)	4.5 inches towards the field side	Yes	W6x15	37.5	34	No	

 Table 1. Downstream Transition Comparison.

As shown in Table 1, the downstream transition configurations of the crash tested systems are largely similar to the TxDOT Thrie-Beam Transition to Concrete Barrier. The primary difference between the transition systems is the taper position at the end of the barriers and the presence of a 6-inch tall curb in the TxDOT Thrie-Beam Transition to Concrete Barrier. A taper with greater offset distance from the thrie beam decreases the likelihood of vehicle interaction at the end of the barrier that can result in vehicle snagging. As shown in Table 1, the taper at the end of the parapet on the TxDOT Thrie-Beam Transition to Concrete Barrier is aligned with the field side of the nested thrie beam rail. While the other transitions have a greater depth of taper on the end of the parapet, the TxDOT Thrie-Beam Transition to Concrete Barrier incorporates a 6-inch tall curb beneath the nested thrie beam section, whereas the other transition systems were tested without a curb. In absence of a curb element, the parapet end must have additional depth of taper to mitigate vehicle snagging concerns. The curb in the TxDOT Thrie-Beam Transition to Concrete Barrier is considered a design element of the transition and not merely a drainage accommodation. The presence of a curb closes the clear opening beneath the thrie beam and is a physical deterrent that prevents a vehicle's tire from rotating beneath the rail and snagging on the end of the concrete parapet. Therefore, the taper on the end of the parapet in combination with the presence of a 6-inch tall curb eliminates concerns regarding snagging of the MASH 1100C vehicle on the parapet end. Other details of the TxDOT Thrie-Beam Transition to Concrete Barrier (e.g., rail element, post size, post spacing, etc.) are similar to those of the systems shown in Table 1 on which MASH Test 3-20 has been successfully performed.

Based on these considerations, the TxDOT Thrie-Beam Transition to Concrete Barrier is considered acceptable under MASH Test 3-20 requirements at the downstream transition end.

MASH Test 3-21 at Upstream Transition

MASH Test 3-21 has not been performed on the TxDOT Thrie-Beam Transition to Concrete Barrier at the upstream transition. However, MASH Test 3-21 has been successfully performed at the upstream transition on several thrie beam transition systems developed by TTI and Midwest Roadside Safety Facility (MwRSF) (ref. 5,7,8). Table 2 shows a comparison between the upstream configuration of the TxDOT Thrie-Beam Transition to Concrete Barrier and the upstream transitions that have been crash tested by TTI and MwRSF.

Transition	Transition from MGS W-Beam to Thrie Beam at Upstream Transition	Transition Height (in.)	Curb in Upstream Transition?	
TxDOT Thrie-Beam Transition to Concrete Barrier	If continuous curb option is used: MGS to 25' long nested W-beam section. Nested W-beam section to nested thrie beam section using 10 gauge asymmetric W-beam to thrie beam transition piece.	31	Yes	
	If continuous curb option is not used: MGS to nested thrie beam section using 10 gauge asymmetric W-beam to thrie beam transition piece.		No	
MASH 2-Tube Bridge Rail Thrie Beam Transition (ref. 5)	MGS to nested thrie beam section using 10 gauge symmetric W-beam to thrie beam transition section.	34	No	
MGS Stiffness Transition with Curb (ref. 7)	MGS to nested 12'-6" long W-beam section. Nested W-beam section to 6'-3" long 12 gauge thrie beam section using 10 gauge asymmetric W-beam to thrie beam transition piece.	31	Yes	
MGS Approach Guardrail Transition using Standardized Steel Posts (ref. 8)	MGS to 6'-3" long 12 gauge thrie beam section using 10 gauge asymmetric W-beam to thrie beam transition piece.	31	No	

Table 2. Upstream Transition Comparison.

As shown in Table 2, the upstream transition configurations of the crash tested systems are largely similar to the TxDOT Thrie-Beam Transition to Concrete Barrier. The exception is that the MGS Stiffness Transition with Curb (ref. 7) and MGS Approach Guardrail Transition using Standardized Steel Posts (ref. 8) have an additional 6'-3" long, 12 gauge thrie beam section in the upstream transition compared to the MASH 2-Tube Bridge Rail Thrie Beam Transition (ref. 5) and the TxDOT Thrie-Beam Transition to Concrete Barrier. The MASH 2-Tube Bridge Rail Thrie Beam Transition (ref. 5) has a higher rail height (34 inches) than the MGS Approach Guardrail Transition using Standardized Steel Posts. Since this upstream transition was successfully tested at a more critical rail height without the additional 6'-3" long 12-gauge thrie beam section, TTI researchers believe that the TxDOT Thrie-Beam Transition to Concrete Barrier can be expected to perform acceptably at the upstream transition without the additional 6'-3" long 12-gauge thrie beam section. Therefore, since other details are similar to successfully crash tested upstream

transitions, it is concluded that the TxDOT Thrie-Beam Transition to Concrete Barrier will satisfy MASH Test 3-21 criteria at the upstream transition.

MASH Test 3-20 at Upstream Transition

MASH Test 3-20 has not been performed on the TxDOT Thrie-Beam Transition to Concrete Barrier at the upstream transition. However, MASH Test 3-20 has been successfully performed at the upstream transition on the MGS Stiffness Transition with and without curb (ref. 7, 8). As shown in Table 2, the TxDOT Thrie-Beam Transition to Concrete Barrier is comparable to the MGS Stiffness Transition with and without curb. The difference between the two transitions is that the MGS Stiffness Transition has an additional 6'-3" long 12-gauge thrie beam section in the upstream transition. As previously stated, TTI researchers believe that this difference is not significant based on successful testing of the MASH 2-Tube Bridge Rail Thrie Beam Transition under more critical load during MASH Test 3-21. Therefore, since the MGS Stiffness Transition with and without curb has been found to meet MASH Test 3-20 criteria at the upstream transition, the TxDOT Thrie-Beam Transition to Concrete Barrier is considered acceptable under MASH Test 3-20 requirements at the upstream transition.

Conclusion

TTI has reviewed the TxDOT Thrie-Beam Transition to Concrete Barrier, and their opinion is that this transition system complies with MASH TL-3 safety evaluation criteria.

References

- 1. American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, *Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware*, AASHTO Subcommittee on Bridges and Structures, Washington, D.C., 2016.
- R. P. Bligh, W. L. Menges, B. L. Griffith, G. E. Schroeder, and D. L. Kuhn. *MASH Evaluation of TxDOT Roadside Safety Features Phase III*. TTI Report No. 0-6946-R3. Texas A&M Transportation Institute, College Station, TX, February 2020.
- 3. W. F. Williams, R. P. Bligh, and W. L. Menges. *MASH TL-3 Testing and Evaluation of the TxDOT T131RC Bridge Rail Transition*. TTI Test Report No. 9-1002-12-4. Texas A&M Transportation Institute, College Station, TX, March 2013.
- 4. S. K. Rosenbaugh, J. D. Schmidt, and R. K. Faller. *Development of a Standardized Buttress for Approach Guardrail Transitions*. TRB Paper No. 18-05386. Midwest Roadside Safety Facility, Lincoln, NE, November 6, 2017.
- W. F. Williams, W. L. Menges, G. E. Schroefer, and D L. Kuhn. MASH TL-3 Evaluation of 2019 MASH 2-Tube Bridge Rail Thrie Beam Transition. TTI Test Report No. 608331-01-4-6. Texas A&M Transportation Institute, College Station, TX. [Pending Review]
- S. K. Rosenbaugh, R. K. Faller, W. G. Fallet, R. W. Bielenberg, and J. D. Schmidt. 34-in. Tall Thrie Beam Transition to Concrete Buttress. MwRSF Research Report No. TRP-03-367-19. Midwest Roadside Safety Facility, Lincoln, NE, March 27, 2019.
- B. J. Winkelbauer, S. K. Rosenbaugh, R. W. Bielenberg, J. G. Putjenter, K. A. Lechtenberg, R. K. Faller, and J. D. Reid. *Dynamic Evaluation of MGS Stiffness Transition with Curb*. MwRSF Research Report No. TRP-03-291-14. Midwest Roadside Safety Facility, Lincoln, NE, June 30, 2014.
- S. K. Rosenbaugh, R. K. Faller, R. W. Bielenberg, K. A. Lechtenberg, D. L. Sicking, and J. D. Reid. *Development of the MGS Approach Guardrail Transition using Standardized Steel Posts*. MwRSF Research Report NO. TRP-03-210-10, Midwest Roadside Safety Facility, Lincoln, NE, December 21, 2010.

APPENDIX A

Details for the TxDOT Thrie-Beam Transition to Concrete Barrier



