from Erik Emerson to everyone: 10:05 AM
I'm getting feedback
from Jim Danila, MassDOT to everyone: 10:05 AM
same here
from Maysam Kiani (internal) to everyone: 10:05 AM
same
from chris brookes to everyone: 10:05 AM
thank you for fixing
from Erik Emerson to everyone: 10:05 AM
it is better now
from Maysam Kiani (internal) to everyone: 10:06 AM
fixed. thanks
from Nick Voltenburg to everyone: 10:34 AM
Yes, I am with Missouri DOT!
from Juan Pava to everyone: 10:35 AM
I think that is a great idea
from Juan Pava to everyone: 10:37 AM
how many projects will get funded from this subgroup?
from Chiara Silvestri Dobrovolny (internal) to everyone: 10:51 AM
Juan, voting will consider all projects, from all working group, at one time. We will ask for voting on the top 10 preferred problem statements. The funded projects will depend on that vote, so we don't know in advance how many (if any) from a specific working group will be funded. John will clarify this in a little bit.
from KIlpatrickdj to everyone: 11:04 AM
where is the CIP for this rail transition configuration, at concrete barrier or just prior to rubrail .....? TxDOT tested at what point for their system?
from KIlpatrickdj to everyone: 11:06 AM
picture shows target in middle of rubrail, correct?
from Nathan Schulz (internal) to everyone: 11:08 AM
There were a few different CIPs crash tested. At concrete barrier, prior to rubrail and another location upstream.
from Shawn Debenham Utah to everyone: 11:14 AM
Will the F-shape TL-4 design be tested as anchored barrier?
from Jim Danila, MassDOT to everyone: 11:14 AM
Are many states specifying TL-4 temporary barrier? It's not something Massachusetts has considered except in the case of temporary bridge rail, which typically is not free-standing
from Greg Schertz - FHWA to everyone: 11:15 AM
hearing chickens? :)
from Mike Elle MnDOT to everyone: 11:20 AM
Minnesota DOT TL-3 for temporry barrier
from Steve Walker to everyone: 11:21 AM
Alabama does not use TL-4 temporary barrier.
from dharnes to everyone: 11:22 AM
Iowa also uses TL-3 for temporary barrier.
from Roger Bligh (internal) to everyone: 11:22 AM
To follow up on Jim's question, it might be interesting to know if your state would use TL-4 PCB if it was available. There has been very little prior TL-4 testing of portable barriers, but the interest seems to be increasing.
from Nauman Sheikh (internal) to everyone: 11:23 AM
Currently there is only one MASH TL-4 temp. concrete barrier that was done for TxDOT using the cross-bolt connetions. This may be part of the reason for low use of the TL4 barrier by the states

from Chris Lindsey to everyone: 11:23 AM
Well to be fair I think there is only a couple of TL4 precast barriers and they are new
from Roger Bligh (internal) to everyone: 11:23 AM
Perhaps projects on certain types of highways would benefit?
from Erik Emerson to everyone: 11:25 AM
I beleive that there were not many TL-4 portable barriers for NCHRP-350.
from Akram Abuodeh (internal) to everyone: 11:29 AM
https://static.tti.tamu.edu/tti.tamu.edu/documents/0-6954-R1.pdf
from Akram Abuodeh (internal) to everyone: 11:31 AM
That was a new report for a single sideded TL-3 transition using a channel rub-rail.
from Chiara Silvestri Dobrovolny (internal) to everyone: 11:56 AM
Please STAY connected to the Webex. We are in a break until 1:40pm ET.
from Chiara Silvestri Dobrovolny (internal) to everyone: 11:58 AM
Once we resume, we'll start the breakout sessions. Please make sure that anyone who is supposed to participate in the breakout sessions from your Agency IS PRESENT at 1:40pm ET.
from Chiara Silvestri Dobrovolny (internal) to everyone: 1:11 PM
After we send you all to your breakout sessions, a TTI representative will come into your private session just to check that everything is OK, and give you the link of the survey for voting
from Jim Danila, MassDOT to everyone: 1:13 PM
TTI: I am the only Massachusetts rep. I will rejoin the main session at 3:40
from Husain Aldahlki (internal) to everyone: 1:14 PM
https://tti.qualtrics.com/jfe/form/SV_6wXyxUWuFSRRdeR
from John Donahue to everyone: 1:26 PM
FYI - I understand it will be a couple minutes before we get reassigned to our breakout.
from John Donahue to everyone: 1:26 PM
There was an unanticipated problem in broadcasting the link to the survey to the breakouts for voting
from Kurt Brauner to everyone: 1:30 PM
Long story short, I had to run out for a bit but have since rejoined. Was I supposed to recieve a link to a break out session?
from Kurt Brauner to everyone: 1:30 PM
Never mind. Just got it
from James Kovar (internal) to everyone: 1:30 PM
Kurt we will work on getting you in.
from James Kovar (internal) to everyone: 1:36 PM
Is there anyone that is having issues?
from hpatterson to everyone: 1:37 PM
I had the survey link in the chat on the but do not se it anymore. can you repost teh survey link.
from Husain Aldahlki (internal) to everyone: 1:37 PM
https://tti.qualtrics.com/jfe/form/SV_6wXyxUWuFSRRdeR
from Roger Bligh (internal) to everyone: 1:38 PM
https://tti.qualtrics.com/jfe/form/SV_6wXyxUWuFSRRdeR
from James Kovar (internal) to everyone: 1:39 PM
Yes whenever you and your colleagues from Louisiana are ready to fill it out.
from James Kovar (internal) to everyone: 1:39 PM
from Chiara Silvestri Dobrovolny (internal) to everyone: 1:39 PM
If you DECIDE to come back to the main session (leaving your breakout), please let us know. Otherwise we may think you are trying to join the breakout again.
from Marc Danley to everyone: 1:42 PM
I'm the only from Idaho, so I've returned to the main session.
from hpatterson to everyone: 1:44 PM

thanks, I was trying to vote absentee, but I guess I'll head to the polls.
from Marc Danley to everyone: 1:44 PM
Not yet. I'm currently working on it.
from Carlos Torres to everyone: 1:53 PM
Michigan voted, and I'm back in the main room.
from Joe Hall to everyone: 1:54 PM
Vote submitted. Back in Main Room. Vote Rec'd?
from Kurt Brauner to everyone: 1:54 PM
Louisiana has voted and returned to the main room
from James Kovar (internal) to everyone: 1:57 PM
Yes we have received your vote Joe and same with Louisiana
from Stan Biddick ALDOT to everyone: 1:59 PM
Alabama is complete and back
from hpatterson to everyone: 1:59 PM
Mississippi has voted and is now putting on our floaties and getting resady for a hurricane.
from James Kovar (internal) to everyone: 2:01 PM
All of y'all in the line are in our prayers! Y'all stay safe out there
from hraza to everyone: 2:01 PM
PA is in main room
from Brian Crossley to everyone: 2:01 PM
Pennsylvania is back. Thanks
from Husain Aldahlki (internal) to everyone: 2:03 PM
FYI: The available funding for this year is $990,000, provided that all states contribute the commited funds and including funding for the administrative projects included in the survey.
from Nick Voltenburg to everyone: 2:03 PM
Missouri is here.
from dharnes to everyone: 2:09 PM
Iowa is back.
from Tamara Good to everyone: 2:09 PM
Maryland is back.
from Alex Lim to everyone: 2:10 PM
Oregon voted.
from Kenneth Shannon to everyone: 2:10 PM
Ontario has voted
from Chris Lindsey to everyone: 2:17 PM
Texas voted
from Taya Retterer to everyone: 2:17 PM
Texas has voted and is back, but taking a small break.
from josh Keith to everyone: 2:18 PM
Colorado has voted
from dfisher3 to everyone: 2:27 PM
Ohio has voted
from Martha Brown to everyone: 2:28 PM
Illinois has voted and returned to the main room.
from Erik Emerson to everyone: 2:30 PM
Wisconsin is here
from Mary McRae to everyone: 2:32 PM
Alaska is back
from Jeff Jeffers to everyone: 2:32 PM
Alaska HAs voted and returned to the Main Meeting

from Christopher Henson to everyone: 2:46 PM
Oregon is here
from Chiara Silvestri Dobrovolny (internal) to everyone: 2:46 PM
https://tti.qualtrics.com/jfe/form/SV_6wXyxUWuFSRRdeR
from Roger Bligh (internal) to everyone: 2:48 PM
I just ended the breakout sessions and they are in a countdown. I want to be sure everyone is back in the main meeting.
from Chris Lindsey to everyone: 2:57 PM
I thought the meeting went very well and smoothly. I was wondering if meeting virtually for some might be an option in the future.
from Jim Danila, MassDOT to everyone: 2:58 PM
Did I hear correctly that it's about a $50k savings for not having travel?
from Chiara Silvestri Dobrovolny (internal) to everyone: 2:59 PM
Correct, Jim. Last year it was spent a little more than $40K.
from Jim Danila, MassDOT to everyone: 3:00 PM
Or travel on alternate years
from Joe Hall to everyone: 3:00 PM
Nooooo!!!!!
from Chris Lindsey to everyone: 3:00 PM
LOL!!!
from Jim Danila, MassDOT to everyone: 3:01 PM
It's going to be a while before my agency approves any out of state travel, especially if there is a virtual option
from Christopher Henson to everyone: 3:02 PM
I like the idea of traveling on alternate years
from Martha Brown to everyone: 3:02 PM
In person allows for states that did not have their projects make it to collaborate with each other and possible fund together outside of the pooled fund.
from Joe Hall to everyone: 3:02 PM
So much for the open discussion.
from Shawn Debenham Utah to everyone: 3:02 PM
Second that
from Kurt Brauner to everyone: 3:03 PM
I'd rather meet in person if possible. It definitely helps me focus when we're all together in the same room.
from KIlpatrickdj to everyone: 3:04 PM
any no know how to drink an electronic beer at the end of this?
from Erik Emerson to everyone: 3:04 PM
I think that going to TTI is easier to justify travel and allows us to see a crash test.
from Kurt Brauner to everyone: 3:04 PM
Plus the food is much better whenever we meet in person
from Wade Odell to everyone: 3:04 PM
Concur with Kurt.
from Shawn Debenham Utah to everyone: 3:04 PM
Travel to Texas every year
from Jeff Jeffers to everyone: 3:04 PM
There is a lot of benefit from face-to-face meetings. Easier to communicate, building relationships. Work continues in to the evening so there is more opportunity to benefit.
from Roger Bligh (internal) to everyone: 3:04 PM
There is always a networking and collaboration aspect to meeting in person. I think Martha has a similar thought. Also opportunity to witness a test if the meeting is held in College Station.

from Shawn Debenham Utah to everyone: 3:06 PM
Its fun to loose your luggage so you have to wear the same T-shirt for 3 days too.
from William Williams (internal) to everyone: 3:06 PM
Also ... We will be sure and have a crash test for the group to view and experience!
from dharnes to everyone: 3:07 PM
Face-to-face meetings are nice, but travel budgets change like the wind.
from Chris Lindsey to everyone: 3:07 PM
I agree that meeting in person has its value. But, I see an opportunity to include more states in the conversations.
from Tim Moeckel to everyone: 3:10 PM
Can you do both, states that want to come in person to meet can do so while the meeting is hosted for states and individual states who cannot meet. Also breakout meetings are nice to involve more people in prioritization
from Roger Bligh (internal) to everyone: 3:12 PM
I think we definitely want to try to accommodate both to enable more than one representative from each state to participate in the meeting. As mentioned, there are likely to be different policies on travel for the near future as well.
from Marc Danley to everyone: 3:13 PM
I like John's idea.
from Roger Bligh (internal) to everyone: 3:14 PM
Yes, we need to explore how to make that work.
from Musafa Mohamedali WSDOT to everyone: 3:14 PM
I see need for rolls and rolls of plastic sheeting :-)
from Shawn Debenham Utah to everyone: 3:15 PM
Dexter purposes?
from Jim Danila, MassDOT to everyone: 3:15 PM
Were updates to the charter discussed on the first day (when I didn't get the invite)?
from Tim Moeckel to everyone: 3:16 PM
If there's time can someone explain how the professional opinions program works? Does each state get one question a year? Is it first come first serve?
from Roger Bligh (internal) to everyone: 3:19 PM
We try to address them as they come in. We have not put a limit or cap on questions per state. We have to work within the budget this allocated. Last year, we requested quesetions and did have a priortization process because we had quite a few. If there are not too many, we can work on them as received.
from Tim Moeckel to everyone: 3:20 PM
okay thanks
from Tim Moeckel to everyone: 3:20 PM
Is this on the website? Are professional opinions shared with member states?
from Tim Moeckel to everyone: 3:21 PM
okay thanks
from Roger Bligh (internal) to everyone: 3:22 PM
We can post them to the Member's Only page if we are not already doing that.
from Chris Lindsey to everyone: 3:22 PM
are we sending the questions directly to William?
from Chiara Silvestri Dobrovolny (internal) to everyone: 3:22 PM
They are already posted on the Member's Only page. However, we will need to place them in a different location soon.
from Chiara Silvestri Dobrovolny (internal) to everyone: 3:23 PM
Chris: you can send the questions directly to Williams, sometimes I get them as well and I will forward them to him

from Tim Moeckel to everyone: 3:23 PM
Maybe you can set-up a submission form on the website? In members only section?
from Chiara Silvestri Dobrovolny (internal) to everyone: 3:23 PM
We are working on developing a page on the website where to place them, where it can be fairly user-friendly find them
from Chiara Silvestri Dobrovolny (internal) to everyone: 3:27 PM
Professional Opinion page:
https://www.roadsidepooledfund.org/2019-pooled-fund-fall-meeting/professional-opinion-projects/
from Chiara Silvestri Dobrovolny (internal) to everyone: 3:45 PM
Hi all... all problem statements have been posted already on the website:
https://www.roadsidepooledfund.org/participating-partners/meetings/annual-meeting/problem-statements-2020/
from Shawn Debenham Utah to everyone: 3:49 PM
Thanks for voting me in, I have been working on this since 2016. Now I know how Eric feels.
from Roger Bligh (internal) to everyone: 3:52 PM
Thanks everyone!! We really appreciate your participation and working through the virtual meeting process. We look forward to working on these projects with you!
from Musafa Mohamedali WSDOT to everyone: 3:52 PM
Excellent planning and moderation by TTI and John D/WSDOT
from Wade Odell to everyone: 3:54 PM
Thanks. Y'all were great.
from Kurt Brauner to everyone: 3:54 PM
thanks everybody
from Jeff Jeffers to everyone: 3:54 PM
Thanks John, TTI, Mustafa! Be well